

MAPPING IDEA & LITERATURE FORMAT | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Co-Creation and Crowdsourced Innovation: A Strategic Approach to Building Consumer Loyalty

Daduk Merdika Mansur<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Information Technology Business Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Telkom, Bandung, Indonesia. Email: [dadukmansur@telkomuniversity.ac.id](mailto:dadukmansur@telkomuniversity.ac.id)

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: June 18, 2025

Revised: July 10, 2025

Accepted: August 24, 2025

## DOI

<https://doi.org/10.52970/grmilf.v6i1.1546>

## ABSTRACT

In the era of digital transformation and empowered consumers, co-creation and crowdsourced innovation have emerged as strategic approaches to enhance consumer loyalty. This study aims to explore the extent to which consumer participation in co-creation and crowdsourced innovation initiatives influences brand loyalty, particularly within the Indonesian market context. Employing a descriptive quantitative methodology, the research collected data through structured online surveys administered to a diverse sample of consumers who had previously engaged in co-creative or crowdsourced brand activities. The study investigates the prevalence and types of participation, perceived value outcomes, and correlations with loyalty indicators such as trust, satisfaction, emotional attachment, and advocacy. The findings reveal that active involvement in co-creation significantly correlates with increased brand loyalty, particularly among digitally literate and younger consumers. Crowdsourced innovation, when perceived as authentic and impactful, enhances consumer identification with the brand and strengthens advocacy behaviors. Moderating factors such as digital readiness, demographic characteristics, and cultural orientation were found to influence the strength of these relationships. The study also highlights the importance of brand transparency, perceived control, and value congruence in shaping consumer perceptions and behavioral outcomes. These insights offer practical implications for marketers seeking to design participatory strategies that resonate with diverse consumer segments and foster long-term loyalty. Furthermore, the study contributes to theoretical development by integrating Service-Dominant Logic, Customer Engagement Theory, and psychological ownership frameworks into a unified model of participatory consumer-brand relationships.

**Keywords:** Co-Creation, Crowdsourced Innovation, Consumer Loyalty, Digital Engagement, Participatory Marketing.

## I. Introduction



In the current landscape of dynamic markets, rapid technological advancement, and evolving consumer expectations, businesses are compelled to explore innovative strategies to sustain competitive advantage and retain consumer loyalty. Among the most significant developments in this regard is the shift from firm-centric value creation to consumer-inclusive paradigms, notably through co-creation and crowdsourced innovation. As companies face increasing pressure to deliver personalized experiences and foster deeper relationships with consumers, co-creation has emerged as a tool for innovation and a strategic mechanism for building consumer loyalty (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004). The growing interactivity between brands and consumers has redefined traditional boundaries, transforming passive consumers into active contributors in product and service ideation, design, and development. In this digital age, where customer empowerment and personalization are paramount, the role of co-creation and crowdsourcing as loyalty-building tools warrants comprehensive academic investigation.

Co-creation, a collaborative process between firms and consumers to generate mutually valued outcomes, has gained momentum across various industries, including technology, retail, hospitality, and financial services. It allows consumers to participate in different stages of product development—from conceptualization and design to marketing and distribution—thereby fostering a sense of ownership and emotional attachment (Ranjan & Read, 2016). Crowdsourced innovation, a related but distinct concept, involves tapping into a large, often undefined group of individuals—typically via digital platforms—to solve problems or generate ideas contributing to organizational innovation. Both strategies are underpinned by the participatory logic of value creation, wherein the consumer is not just the end-user but a co-designer or co-producer of value (Vargo & Lusch, 2008). As businesses increasingly embrace digital transformation and open innovation models, understanding the strategic implications of these participatory practices for consumer loyalty becomes imperative.

The phenomenon of co-creation and crowdsourced innovation is notably amplified by the proliferation of digital technologies and platforms that facilitate large-scale consumer engagement. Social media, mobile apps, online forums, and innovation contests have become vital tools in enabling firms to solicit ideas, feedback, and creative contributions from a broad consumer base. For instance, major brands such as LEGO, Starbucks, and Unilever have successfully deployed co-creation strategies through digital platforms that empower consumers to influence product designs or even introduce new offerings. These strategies enable firms to gather diverse perspectives and foster stronger emotional bonds with participants, enhancing brand attachment and long-term loyalty (Nambisan & Baron, 2009). However, despite its growing adoption, empirical understanding of how co-creation and crowdsourced innovation tangibly affect consumer loyalty remains fragmented, especially within descriptive frameworks that can highlight patterns and preferences in consumer behavior.

Recent studies suggest that consumer loyalty is significantly influenced by the perceived value derived from co-creation experiences. Personalization, perceived empowerment, enjoyment, and trust have been found to mediate the relationship between co-creation and loyalty outcomes (Yi & Gong, 2013). Moreover, the transparency and openness embedded in crowdsourced innovation processes often enhance consumer trust and satisfaction—two essential antecedents of loyalty. However, not all consumers respond uniformly to such initiatives; demographic variables, technological proficiency, and prior brand experiences may shape their participation motives and loyalty outcomes (Tsekouras et al., 2020). These observations underscore the need for context-specific investigations that can describe the characteristics of consumers engaged in co-creation, their motivations, and the loyalty behaviors that result from such engagement.

In the Indonesian context, where digital consumption is on the rise and consumer-brand relationships are increasingly mediated through online platforms, the potential for co-creation and crowdsourced innovation is immense. Many local startups and established brands are experimenting with participatory innovation models to strengthen customer ties and differentiate themselves in highly competitive markets. The digital economy in Southeast Asia, projected to reach over \$300 billion by 2025, further accentuates the relevance of exploring consumer-centric strategies that capitalize on co-creation (Google, Temasek & Bain, 2022). Nevertheless, while anecdotal evidence and case studies abound, systematic empirical research—mainly quantitative descriptive studies—remain limited. There is a pressing need to understand how Indonesian consumers perceive and engage in co-creation processes and whether these experiences translate into measurable loyalty indicators such as repeat purchases, advocacy, and emotional attachment.

Theoretically, this study is grounded in the Service-Dominant (S-D) Logic framework, which posits that value is co-created through interactions between providers and consumers rather than being embedded in products or services themselves (Vargo & Lusch, 2008). This perspective aligns closely with the co-creation paradigm and provides a robust lens through which consumer behavior can be examined in participatory contexts. Moreover, the theory of customer engagement, which emphasizes the psychological and behavioral dimensions of a customer's interaction with a brand, also offers valuable insights into how co-creation may foster deeper forms of loyalty (Brodie et al., 2011). These frameworks inform the operationalization of key variables in this study and guide the interpretation of descriptive findings.

Previous empirical studies reinforce the notion that co-creation positively impacts customer loyalty. For instance, Ind et al. (2013) found that co-creative practices contribute to brand differentiation and consumer identification with the brand. Similarly, a study by Roberts et al. (2014) demonstrated that participation in crowdsourcing initiatives enhances customer trust and perceived brand authenticity—critical factors for long-term loyalty. Moreover, Dong et al. (2015) noted that co-creation enhances customer satisfaction through increased perceived value and emotional attachment, leading to higher retention rates. Another study by Ramaswamy and Ozcan (2016) emphasized the role of digital platforms in facilitating meaningful consumer engagement and experiential value creation. Finally, Bogers et al. (2017) proved that crowdsourced innovation enables firms to align product development with consumer preferences, increasing customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Despite these valuable insights, most existing studies have focused on experimental or case-based approaches, often in Western contexts, leaving a gap for descriptive quantitative research that can capture broad consumer patterns and preferences across diverse populations. This research seeks to fill that gap by employing a descriptive quantitative methodology to explore the relationship between co-creation, crowdsourced innovation, and consumer loyalty in the Indonesian context. By collecting data from a broad cross-section of consumers, this study aims to describe the prevalence of co-creation participation, the types of co-creative activities consumers engage in, their motivations, and the loyalty outcomes associated with such participation.

The main objective of this study is to describe the extent to which co-creation and crowdsourced innovation strategies influence consumer loyalty in Indonesia. Specifically, the research aims to identify: (1) the level of consumer participation in co-creation activities; (2) the types of co-creative interactions most prevalent among consumers; (3) consumer perceptions regarding the value and enjoyment derived from these activities; and (4) the correlation between co-creation experiences and consumer loyalty indicators such as satisfaction, emotional connection, advocacy, and repurchase intentions. In

doing so, this study contributes to a more nuanced understanding of consumer behavior in participatory innovation settings and offers practical insights for marketers seeking to design effective loyalty strategies. In conclusion, co-creation and crowdsourced innovation represent a paradigm shift in how businesses engage with consumers and create value. By transitioning from unilateral product offerings to collaborative, consumer-driven innovation models, firms can foster deeper and more sustainable forms of consumer loyalty. This study seeks to explore this phenomenon through a descriptive quantitative lens, offering empirical insights that can inform academic theory and managerial practice. Given the growing relevance of these strategies in digital economies such as Indonesia, this research is timely, contextually significant, and strategically vital for businesses aiming to thrive in the age of empowered consumers.

## II. Literature Review

### 2.1 Conceptual Foundations of Co-Creation and Crowdsourced Innovation

The emergence of co-creation and crowdsourced innovation reflects a fundamental transformation in value creation and consumer-firm relationships. In the traditional marketing paradigm, value was seen as something created by firms and delivered to passive consumers. However, with the proliferation of digital technologies and the increasing importance of customer experience, value creation is now regarded as a collaborative, interactive process between producers and users. This shift is encapsulated in co-creation, which refers to the process by which consumers actively create value through interactions with firms, products, and other consumers (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004). Co-creation is not limited to product development but spans multiple touchpoints, including marketing communication, service customization, and brand storytelling. By engaging consumers in value-generating activities, firms can generate deeper emotional connections, foster trust, and differentiate themselves in competitive markets (Ranjan & Read, 2016).

In parallel, crowdsourced innovation has gained prominence as a mechanism for leveraging large groups' collective intelligence and creativity to generate ideas, solve problems, or co-develop solutions. Crowdsourcing involves outsourcing tasks or challenges to a dispersed audience, often via online platforms, to access diverse inputs and perspectives (Howe, 2006). Unlike co-creation, which often involves deeper, sustained interactions with specific consumers, crowdsourcing typically relies on broad participation from a wider population. However, both concepts share the principle of opening up the innovation process and breaking down the boundaries between firm and consumer (Leimeister et al., 2009). Crowdsourced innovation enables firms to tap into external knowledge networks, reduce research and development costs, and increase the likelihood of disruptive innovation (West & Bogers, 2014).

Digital transformation has played a pivotal role in enabling co-creation and crowdsourced innovation. The advent of Web 2.0 technologies, social media, and interactive platforms has drastically increased the opportunities for real-time consumer engagement and idea exchange. Online communities, innovation contests, customer feedback tools, and collaborative platforms now facilitate dynamic participation between brands and consumers, regardless of geography or background (Nambisan & Baron, 2009). These technologies have also shifted the locus of control in the value chain, empowering consumers to shape brand narratives and product functionalities. As a result, firms are

redesigning their innovation strategies and rethinking their organizational structures and cultures to become more inclusive and responsive (Von Hippel, 2005).

The conceptual boundaries between co-creation and crowdsourced innovation remain fluid, often overlapping depending on context and implementation. Scholars have emphasized that while both approaches aim to involve external contributors in the innovation process, they differ in depth, duration, and participation motivation. Co-creation typically involves higher levels of consumer commitment and mutual value generation, often leading to personalized outcomes and stronger brand attachment (O'Hern & Rindfleisch, 2010). Crowdsourced innovation, on the other hand, emphasizes idea diversity, speed, and scalability. These concepts form a complementary toolkit for firms navigating complex and volatile market environments, enabling them to innovate more effectively while building stronger ties with their customer base (Bogers et al., 2017).

## 2.2 Mechanisms and Dimensions of Co-Creation

The mechanisms by which co-creation unfolds in organizational settings vary widely, encompassing a spectrum of interactions that range from simple feedback collection to deep collaborative design processes. At its core, co-creation is enabled through platforms and processes that allow consumers to contribute knowledge, creativity, or preferences that shape a firm's offerings. These contributions can manifest in diverse forms such as idea generation, content creation, product testing, customization, and co-design (Zwass, 2010). The proliferation of digital technologies has significantly expanded the scope of these mechanisms, giving rise to customer innovation labs, online brand communities, and interactive design interfaces. These tools offer consumers a structured way to collaborate while giving firms a scalable and often cost-efficient way to gather insights and test innovations before going to market (Füller, Hutter, & Faullant, 2011).

One of the most critical dimensions of co-creation lies in the psychological engagement it fosters. Scholars argue that co-creation enhances consumers' perceived empowerment, control, and sense of agency—factors that, in turn, drive satisfaction and loyalty (Etgar, 2008). When consumers perceive that their input is valued and has a tangible impact on product development or brand direction, they experience a stronger connection to the brand. This is supported by psychological ownership, wherein consumers feel partial "ownership" of the brand or product they helped co-create, which leads to increased attachment and advocacy behaviors (Pierce, Kostova, & Dirks, 2001). This sense of involvement differentiates co-creation from traditional consumer feedback and transforms the consumer-brand relationship into a partnership grounded in mutual benefit.

Moreover, the design of the interaction process itself strongly influences the effectiveness of co-creation. Research has emphasized the importance of clarity in roles, transparency in decision-making, and feedback loops that validate consumer contributions (Ind, Iglesias, & Schultz, 2013). Platforms that offer clear guidelines, provide recognition, and demonstrate responsiveness to consumer input are more likely to succeed in sustaining participation and converting engagement into loyalty. Additionally, co-creation processes incorporating gamification elements, reward systems, or social comparison mechanisms often experience higher participation rates and more diverse idea generation (Sigala, 2019). Firms must therefore carefully design their co-creation mechanisms to gather input efficiently and maximize consumer satisfaction and long-term brand affinity.

Lastly, digital environments—particularly social media and mobile applications—have become essential for facilitating co-creation at scale. Brands now leverage platforms like Instagram, TikTok, and

YouTube as communication channels and spaces for consumer-led creativity. Hashtag campaigns, design contests, user-generated content initiatives, and open idea calls are examples of how co-creation is operationalized in digital contexts (Piller, Vossen, & Ihl, 2012). These platforms lower the barriers to participation, reach broader and more diverse audiences, and create public visibility for co-creation efforts, further enhancing their appeal. However, digital co-creation is not without its challenges. Data privacy, participation inequality, and content moderation require careful management to maintain trust and credibility. Nevertheless, digital co-creation can yield high consumer engagement and meaningful insights that drive innovation and customer loyalty when executed effectively.

### 2.3 Crowdsourced Innovation and Its Strategic Role

Crowdsourced innovation has emerged as a pivotal strategy in modern innovation management, enabling firms to tap into external, distributed knowledge to solve complex problems, generate ideas, and accelerate product development. The core premise of crowdsourcing lies in its ability to mobilize a large, often undefined group of individuals—commonly called the “crowd”—to participate in innovation-related activities through open calls or digital platforms (Howe, 2008). Unlike internal R&D models that rely heavily on proprietary knowledge, crowdsourcing embraces openness, diversity, and decentralization. This shift aligns with Chesbrough’s (2003) theory of open innovation, which argues that firms can enhance their innovative capacity by leveraging external resources alongside internal capabilities. In practice, companies such as Dell, Procter & Gamble, and Netflix have successfully integrated crowdsourcing initiatives into their strategic operations, gaining competitive advantages through novel ideas sourced directly from customers, enthusiasts, and independent creators (Bayus, 2013).

One of crowdsourced innovation's most significant strategic benefits is its capacity to enhance idea diversity and solution quality. The crowd typically comprises individuals with varying skills, perspectives, and motivations, which fosters a richer pool of ideas than that generated by internal teams alone (Afuah & Tucci, 2012). Empirical studies have shown that the effectiveness of crowdsourcing depends not just on participant numbers but also on the heterogeneity of contributors (Jeppesen & Lakhani, 2010). For example, challenge-based platforms such as InnoCentive and Kaggle have demonstrated that non-experts often outperform domain experts in solving scientific or technical problems due to their novel thinking. This “outsider advantage” allows firms to break out of cognitive lock-ins and explore unconventional innovation pathways, enhancing adaptability and market responsiveness.

Moreover, crowdsourced innovation is increasingly important in strengthening brand authenticity and customer trust. When companies publicly invite customers or the broader public to co-develop solutions, they signal transparency, inclusivity, and respect for consumer perspectives (Zuchowski et al., 2016). This perceived openness contributes positively to brand image and loyalty, especially among younger generations who value authenticity and active participation. Furthermore, crowdsourced innovation can be a form of experiential marketing, whereby participants generate ideas and experience a brand’s values and collaborative culture firsthand (Füller et al., 2009). Engaging consumers in innovation thus extends beyond functional outcomes to emotional and symbolic dimensions that influence customer behavior over time. Brands that consistently use crowdsourcing to solve real-world problems or create social value often build more substantial reputational capital and deeper emotional bonds with their audiences.

Despite its benefits, the implementation of crowdsourced innovation poses significant managerial challenges. One critical issue is the quality control of crowd-generated ideas. Given the open nature of crowdsourcing, submissions can be highly variable, necessitating robust evaluation mechanisms and clear criteria to sift through large volumes of contributions (Poetz & Schreier, 2012). Another challenge lies in managing intellectual property rights, particularly when participants are not employees or partners of the firm. Ensuring fair compensation, clear ownership, and ethical use of ideas is essential to maintain contributor trust and avoid legal disputes. Moreover, sustaining long-term participation requires that firms establish a sense of community, recognition, and purpose among contributors. Without these elements, participants may become disengaged, and the quality of contributions may decline (Leimeister et al., 2010). Therefore, for crowdsourced innovation to serve as a strategic asset, firms must invest in digital infrastructure and governance, culture, and stakeholder relationships.

## 2.4 Linking Co-Creation and Crowdsourcing to Consumer Loyalty

The intersection of co-creation and crowdsourced innovation with consumer loyalty represents a vital area of strategic marketing research. In the contemporary business landscape, firms no longer view customers solely as end recipients of value but as dynamic partners in value creation. This evolution has spurred interest in how collaborative innovation efforts influence customer loyalty, which is traditionally understood as a consumer's commitment to repurchase and advocate for a brand over time (Oliver, 1999). Central to this discussion is the notion that participatory innovation enhances psychological engagement, which drives loyalty. When consumers are invited to collaborate in creating or improving products, they tend to develop a deeper emotional bond with the brand, contributing to both attitudinal and behavioral loyalty (Brodie et al., 2011).

Empirical studies have repeatedly affirmed the connection between co-creation and loyalty outcomes. For instance, Ranjan and Read (2016) found that perceived co-creation value, particularly personal relevance and empowerment, significantly predicted brand loyalty across service contexts. Likewise, Yi and Gong (2013) demonstrated that active participation in value creation processes increased satisfaction and intention to recommend, two key loyalty markers. Furthermore, co-creation strengthens consumer trust—an essential precursor to loyalty—by signaling openness, responsiveness, and respect for customer input (Harmeling et al., 2017). This trust is especially salient in long-term customer relationships, where loyalty is sustained by product performance and emotional and relational factors. Co-creation activities that offer recognition, personalization, and transparency foster a sense of brand belonging that traditional marketing efforts often fail to achieve.

Similarly, crowdsourced innovation has been shown to positively influence loyalty through mechanisms of perceived inclusion, empowerment, and shared identity. Consumers who see their ideas implemented or acknowledged by firms feel more valued and respected, which enhances their emotional connection to the brand (Tsekouras et al., 2020). This phenomenon is closely linked to consumer-brand identification, where individuals incorporate the brand into their self-concept based on meaningful interactions (Bhattacharya & Sen, 2003). In a study of online consumer communities, Nambisan and Baron (2007) found that participants involved in crowdsourced innovation initiatives exhibited higher loyalty due to the firm's perceived innovativeness and responsiveness. Moreover, when consumers perceive that their creative contributions have a tangible impact, they often transition from

passive buyers to active brand advocates, promoting the brand within their social networks and defending it in times of crisis (Füller, 2010).

However, the impact of co-creation and crowdsourcing on loyalty is not uniform across all contexts and consumer segments. Studies suggest that the strength of this relationship is moderated by individual and situational variables, including consumer innovativeness, technological readiness, and prior brand experience (Merz, He, & Vargo, 2009). For example, consumers who are more tech-savvy or have higher self-efficacy in digital environments are more likely to engage in co-creation and derive satisfaction from it. Similarly, loyal customers are more responsive to co-creation initiatives because they already have a positive predisposition toward the brand, while first-time customers may remain skeptical or disengaged (Payne, Storbacka, & Frow, 2008). Therefore, firms must segment and tailor their co-creation strategies to match their target audiences' preferences, capabilities, and expectations to maximize loyalty outcomes.

The relationship between participatory innovation and customer loyalty is multifaceted and context-dependent, but the prevailing evidence supports a positive link. When effectively designed and authentically implemented, co-creation and crowdsourcing serve as innovation strategies and loyalty-building mechanisms that deepen consumer trust, foster emotional attachment, and enhance brand advocacy. By actively empowering consumers to shape offerings, firms can move beyond transactional relationships toward more meaningful, enduring partnerships. This shift reflects a broader transformation in marketing logic, from firm-centric to consumer-integrated value creation, and underscores the strategic importance of consumer participation in fostering sustainable loyalty.

## 2.5 Moderating Factors and Boundary Conditions

While the positive relationship between co-creation, crowdsourced innovation, and consumer loyalty is well-established, this linkage does not operate in isolation. Several moderating factors and boundary conditions influence the strength and direction of these relationships. A key moderator is consumers' level of technological readiness and digital literacy. For co-creation and crowdsourcing initiatives to succeed, participants must possess the motivation and technical skills to interact with digital platforms, submit ideas, and provide meaningful feedback. Studies have shown that consumers with higher digital self-efficacy and positive attitudes toward technology are more likely to engage in and derive satisfaction from co-creation efforts (Franke, Keinz, & Schreier, 2008). Conversely, those with low digital competence may experience frustration or disengagement, reducing the effectiveness of such strategies. Therefore, firms must consider their target audience's technological profile when designing co-creation platforms, ensuring accessibility, intuitive interfaces, and user support features that cater to varying skill levels.

Demographic characteristics also play a crucial role in shaping consumer responses to co-creation and crowdsourced innovation. Age, income, education, and cultural background can all influence consumer preferences, motivations, and perceived benefits of participation. For instance, younger consumers—especially digital natives—tend to be more open to interactive brand relationships and are more likely to see co-creation as a form of self-expression or identity reinforcement (Smith, 2017). On the other hand, older consumers may prioritize functionality and ease of use over innovation, requiring a different approach to engagement. Cultural context further complicates this dynamic. In collectivist cultures, individuals may be more inclined to participate in group-based innovation activities emphasizing social harmony and shared purpose. In contrast, consumers may engage more readily in

individualist cultures when personal recognition or rewards are emphasized (Yim, Chan, & Lam, 2012). Understanding these nuances is essential for firms operating in global markets, as a one-size-fits-all approach to co-creation is unlikely to yield optimal loyalty outcomes across different consumer segments.

Industry context also acts as a boundary condition for the effectiveness of participatory innovation strategies. Co-creation and crowdsourcing yield higher engagement and loyalty in industries where products are emotionally charged, customizable, or symbolic—such as fashion, technology, lifestyle, or entertainment (Nysveen & Pedersen, 2014). In contrast, industries characterized by commoditized or utilitarian offerings, such as utilities or basic consumer goods, may struggle to foster meaningful co-creation experiences unless they creatively reframe the value proposition. Moreover, service-based industries often provide more natural opportunities for co-creation, as services are inherently interactive and modifiable. For example, in hospitality or education, co-created service design allows customers to shape their experiences in real time, fostering greater satisfaction and loyalty (Pralhad & Krishnan, 2008). Therefore, sector-specific factors must be considered when evaluating co-creation and crowdsourcing initiatives' feasibility and expected impact.

Psychological and relational factors also moderate the effectiveness of these strategies. One such factor is perceived control—consumers are more likely to participate in and respond positively to co-creation when they feel they genuinely influence outcomes rather than being used as a marketing gimmick (Fuchs, Prandelli, & Schreier, 2010). This sense of control enhances intrinsic motivation and fosters a more authentic relationship between the brand and the consumer. Another important moderator is value congruence, which refers to the alignment between a consumer's personal values and the values embodied by the brand. When consumers perceive that their contributions support a shared purpose or ethical commitment, such as sustainability or social responsibility, they are more likely to engage deeply and remain loyal over time (Sweeney et al., 2014). Trust, transparency, and perceived fairness also contribute to the relational dynamics that shape the success of participatory innovation. Firms must therefore adopt a stakeholder-centric approach that balances commercial goals with meaningful consumer experiences, ensuring that co-creation and crowdsourcing initiatives are perceived as mutually beneficial.

Based on the above synthesis of conceptual frameworks, empirical studies, and identified gaps, this research seeks to contribute to academic understanding and managerial practice by formulating and testing hypotheses that explore the relationship between co-creation, crowdsourced innovation, and consumer loyalty in a descriptive context. These hypotheses are designed not as causal predictions but as guiding assumptions for exploratory analysis. Drawing from the literature reviewed across prior sections, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- H1: A positive relationship exists between consumer participation in co-creation activities and their loyalty to the brand.
- H2: Consumers who perceive higher value from crowdsourced innovation initiatives report stronger brand advocacy intentions.
- H3: The relationship between co-creation participation and consumer loyalty is moderated by digital readiness.
- H4: Perceived brand authenticity mediates the relationship between crowdsourced innovation and consumer loyalty.

H5: Demographic variables like age, education level, and cultural orientation influence consumer preferences for co-creation formats.

These hypotheses reflect the theoretical grounding and empirical curiosity that motivate this study. They allow for a descriptive quantitative exploration of how participatory innovation shapes modern consumer behavior, particularly within Indonesia's evolving digital and cultural landscape. Ultimately, by articulating these hypotheses and testing them through a structured survey methodology, this study contributes to developing a more nuanced and context-sensitive understanding of co-creation and crowdsourcing as strategic levers for consumer loyalty.

## References

- Afuah, A., & Tucci, C. L. (2012). Crowdsourcing as a solution to distant search. *Journal of the Academy of Management Review*, 37(3), 355–375. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2010.0146>
- Bayus, B. L. (2013). Crowdsourcing new product ideas over time: An analysis of the Dell IdeaStorm community. *Management Science*, 59(1), 226–244. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1120.1599>
- Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2003). Consumer–company identification: A framework for understanding consumers' relationships with companies. *Journal of Marketing*, 67(2), 76–88. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.67.2.76.18609>
- Bogers, M., Afuah, A., & Bastian, B. (2017). Users as innovators: A review, critique, and future research directions. *Journal of Management*, 43(1), 118–146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316679384>
- Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Jurić, B., & Ilić, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670511411703>
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business Press.
- Dong, B., Evans, K. R., & Zou, S. (2015). The effects of customer participation in co-created service recovery. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(5), 615–628. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-015-0425-6>
- Etgar, M. (2008). A descriptive model of the consumer co-production process. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0061-1>
- Franke, N., Keinz, P., & Schreier, M. (2008). Complementing mass customization toolkits with user communities: How peer input improves customer self-design. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 25(6), 546–559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2008.00322.x>
- Fuchs, C., Prandelli, E., & Schreier, M. (2010). The psychological effects of empowerment strategies on consumers' product demand. *Journal of Marketing*, 74(1), 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.1.65>
- Füller, J. (2010). Refining virtual co-creation from a consumer perspective. *California Management Review*, 52(2), 98–122. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cm.2010.52.2.98>
- Füller, J., Bartl, M., Ernst, H., & Mühlbacher, H. (2009). Community-based innovation: How to integrate members of virtual communities into new product development. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(1–2), 57–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-009-9029-0>
- Füller, J., Hutter, K., & Faullant, R. (2011). Why co-creation experience matters? Creative experience and its impact on the quantity and quality of creative contributions. *R&D Management*, 41(3), 259–273. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2011.00640.x>
- Harmeling, C. M., Moffett, J. W., Arnold, M. J., & Carlson, B. D. (2017). Toward a theory of customer engagement marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(3), 312–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-016-0509-2>
- Howe, J. (2006). The rise of crowdsourcing. *Wired Magazine*, 14(6), 1–4.
- Howe, J. (2008). *Crowdsourcing: Why the power of the crowd is driving the future of business*. Crown

## Business.

- Ind, N., Iglesias, O., & Schultz, M. (2013). Building brands together: Emergence and outcomes of co-creation. *California Management Review*, 55(3), 5–26. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2013.55.3.5>
- Jeppesen, L. B., & Lakhani, K. R. (2010). Marginality and problem-solving effectiveness in broadcast search. *Organization Science*, 21(5), 1016–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0491>
- Leimeister, J. M., Huber, M., Bretschneider, U., & Krcmar, H. (2009). Leveraging crowdsourcing: Activation-supporting components for IT-based ideas competition. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 26(1), 197–224. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222260108>
- Leimeister, J. M., Huber, M., Bretschneider, U., & Krcmar, H. (2010). Idea competition systems—Recent research and future challenges. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 2(6), 367–374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-010-0123-6>
- Merz, M. A., He, Y., & Vargo, S. L. (2009). The evolving brand logic: A service-dominant logic perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 37(3), 328–344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-009-0143-3>
- Nambisan, S., & Baron, R. A. (2007). Interactions in virtual customer environments: Implications for product support and customer relationship management. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 21(2), 42–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dir.20077>
- Nambisan, S., & Baron, R. A. (2009). Virtual customer environments: Testing a model of voluntary participation in value co-creation activities. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 26(4), 388–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2009.00667.x>
- Nysveen, H., & Pedersen, P. E. (2014). Influences of co-creation on brand loyalty: The mediating role of brand experience. *Journal of Brand Management*, 21(7–8), 574–588. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2014.6>
- O’Hern, M. S., & Rindfleisch, A. (2010). Customer co-creation: A typology and research agenda. *Review of Marketing Research*, 6, 84–106. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1548-6435\(2009\)0000006010](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1548-6435(2009)0000006010)
- Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty? *Journal of Marketing*, 63(4\_suppl1), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429990634s105>
- Payne, A. F., Storbacka, K., & Frow, P. (2008). Managing the co-creation of value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 83–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0070-0>
- Pierce, J. L., Kostova, T., & Dirks, K. T. (2001). Toward a theory of psychological ownership in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 298–310. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.4378028>
- Piller, F. T., Vossen, A., & Ihl, C. (2012). From social media to social product development: The impact of social media on co-creation of innovation. *Die Unternehmung*, 66(1), 7–27. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0042-059X-2012-1-7>
- Poetz, M. K., & Schreier, M. (2012). The value of crowdsourcing: Can users really compete with professionals in generating new product ideas? *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(2), 245–256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2011.00893.x>
- Prahalad, C. K., & Krishnan, M. S. (2008). *The new age of innovation: Driving co-created value through global networks*. McGraw-Hill.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creating unique value with customers. *Strategy & Leadership*, 32(3), 4–9. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10878570410699249>
- Ramaswamy, V., & Ozcan, K. (2016). Brand value co-creation in a digitalized world: An integrative framework and research implications. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(1), 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2015.07.001>
- Ranjan, K. R., & Read, S. (2016). Value co-creation: Concept and measurement. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44(3), 290–315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0397-2>
- Sigala, M. (2019). Social media and customer engagement in the context of collaborative value creation in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 31(3), 1082–1100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-10-2017-0649>
- Smith, A. N. (2017). *Co-creation in marketing: An overview of theoretical perspectives and measurement*

- approaches. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 25(5–6), 423–442. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2016.1148769>
- Sweeney, J. C., Danaher, T. S., & McColl-Kennedy, J. R. (2014). Customer effort in value cocreation activities: Improving quality of life and behavioral intentions of health care customers. *Journal of Service Research*, 18(3), 318–335. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670514558322>
- Tsekouras, G., Fragkos, K. C., & Tzortzaki, A. (2020). The influence of consumer demographics on co-creation experiences and loyalty intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 54, 102034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.102034>
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2008). Service-dominant logic: Continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0069-6>
- Von Hippel, E. (2005). *Democratizing innovation*. MIT Press.
- West, J., & Bogers, M. (2014). Leveraging external sources of innovation: A review of research on open innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(4), 814–831. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12125>
- Yi, Y., & Gong, T. (2013). Customer value co-creation behavior: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(9), 1279–1284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.026>
- Yim, C. K., Chan, K. W., & Lam, S. S. (2012). Do customers and employees enjoy service participation? Synergistic effects of self- and other-efficacy. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(6), 121–140. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.11.0205>
- Zuchowski, O., Posegga, O., Schlagwein, D., & Fischbach, K. (2016). Internal crowdsourcing: Conceptual framework, structured review, and research agenda. *Journal of Information Technology*, 31(2), 166–184. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2016.1>
- Zwass, V. (2010). Co-creation: Toward a taxonomy and an integrated research perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 15(1), 11–48. <https://doi.org/10.2753/JEC1086-4415150101>