



Received: March 22, 2024

Revised: August 10, 2024

Accepted: November 30, 2024

*Corresponding author: W. Warsina,
Accounting Department, Faculty of
Economics, Universitas Tunas
Pembangunan UTP, Surakarta,
Indonesia.

E-mail: warsina@lecture.utp.ac.id

FINANCE | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Earnings Quality and Economic Narratives: Insights into Corporate Truth-Making from CFOs and Financial Standard Setters

W. Warsina^{1*}, S. Suswadi², Susilaningtyas Budiana Kurniawati³, Zandra Dwanita Widodo⁴

^{1,2,3,4}Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Tunas Pembangunan UTP, Surakarta, Indonesia. Email: warsina@lecture.utp.ac.id, suswadi@lecture.utp.ac.id, susilaningtyas.kurniawati@lecture.utp.ac.id, zandra.widodo@lecture.utp.ac.id

Abstract: This study investigates the perceptions of Chief Financial Officers (CFOs) in Indonesian companies regarding earnings quality and the factors influencing their reporting decisions. It aims to explore the implications of these perceptions for stakeholders, including investors and standard setters. Employing qualitative methodologies, the research collects data through in-depth interviews and surveys administered to CFOs across various sectors in Indonesia. The study examines the relationship between earnings quality and institutional ownership, credit ratings, and the professional backgrounds of CFOs. The findings reveal that nearly 95% of CFOs perceive earnings as critical for investors in valuing companies, with a strong emphasis on sustainability and the absence of one-time items as key attributes of high-quality earnings. Additionally, a significant correlation exists between institutional ownership and earnings quality, while CFOs with public accounting backgrounds report higher perceptions of earnings quality compared to their counterparts. The study highlights that firms with higher credit ratings tend to demonstrate greater earnings quality. The results underscore the importance of transparent and reliable financial reporting practices for CFOs, suggesting a need for improved accounting standards that address the complexities of earnings quality. Investors are encouraged to consider the sustainability of earnings when making investment decisions. This research contributes to the understanding of earnings quality in emerging markets, specifically within the context of Indonesian corporate governance. It bridges the gap between academic theories and practical insights from financial executives, offering valuable implications for future research and policy development.

Keywords: Earnings Quality, CFO Perceptions, Institutional Ownership, Credit Ratings, Corporate Governance.

JEL Classification Code: M41, G34, G32

1. INTRODUCTION

Earnings Quality has become an important issue in recent years among the study of scholars, practitioners, and regulators especially in developing economies including Indonesia. Higher level of sophistication in financial reporting standards and the ever-evolving business environment lead to scepticism on the dependability of earnings reported (Niso et al., 2022; Ray, 2023). Now more than ever, it is critical for companies to know the key characteristics of high-quality earnings as they endure competitive pressure and respond to varied stakeholder demands (Babar & Habib, 2021; Tseng et al., 2022). The quality of earnings has not merely been identified from the standpoint of financial health but also affects investor base and market sentiment (Ahmed, 2020; Bouteska, 2019). In the context of Indonesia, which has increasingly stringent regulation focused on improving accounting quality, it warrants a careful examination to understand how local CFOs view and handle earnings quality given this trend (Nor Ahmad et al., 2022).

Although the meaning of earnings quality has a strong significance, it remains controversial to define and measure it in academic and corporate level (García Lara et al., 2020; Obeng et al., 2020). A



convergence on the quality models has never been achieved and multiple metrics have been recommended for assessing quality, for instance persistence of earnings, predictability or accruals but a consensus is missing (B. A. Fiedler, 2020; Hanley et al., 2018; Lennox & Wu, 2022a, 2022b). Especially in Indonesia, a country with differences among themselves on corporate governance structure, earnings management and manipulation is a real issue it must be addressed (Alam et al., 2020). This is related to the transparency of financial reporting which could increase a possibility in financial manipulation, hence financial performance presentation by the firms (de Souza et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). In addition, induced by market expectations and short-term oriented goals of company earnings quality becomes increasingly complex as well as the practice of earning management involving aggressive practices (Chang et al., 2022; Mongrut & Winkelried, 2019).

Theoretical background on earnings quality earnings quality is a multidisciplinary concept which draws from accounting, finance and behavioral economics theories. Agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976, 2019), one of the principal theories, argues that there are conflicts between management and stakeholders giving rise to demands for disclosure in financial reporting. In the case of Indonesia, this conflict is frequently increased by weak institutional frameworks and differences in enforcement regarding specified regulations (Macdonald, 2020; Muawanah et al., 2018). (Michael Spence, 2002) developed the concept of signaling theory where firms use financial disclosures to signal information¹ on their quality and prospects to the market. Prism Through this theoretical lens, we examine that how CFOs from Indonesia dealing with earnings reporting challenges by inclusiveness managerial discretion and stakeholder expectations (Ed-Dafali et al., 2023).

It is very important to investigate earnings quality in Indonesia as it relates to the results of previous studies. The effect of high earnings quality on firm performance have been confirmed in existing literatures (Bilal et al., 2023; Chew et al., 2020), whereas aggressive earnings management may harm the firm performance negatively (Garel et al., 2021) (Boachie & Mensah, 2022). This ambiguity indicates the need for deeper research on the topic of earnings quality because this is conceptually a multilayered phenomenon. Interviews with others that the widespread occurrence of creative accounting implies that reported earnings might only be illusory and not persisting in fact (Michelon et al., 2022; Ravenscroft & Williams, 2021). In contrast, several studies by Utami et al., Firms with strong governance standards had higher earnings quality ((Al-Absy et al., 2019; Bao et al., 2020). This apparent dichotomy leads us to ask: what contextual factors, such as local regulation and corporate culture, affect the treatment of earnings quality where CFOs are concerned? In addition, The tectonic plates which have been moving in recent times towards International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) practices in Indonesia also triggered hot debates on the effectiveness IFRS standards to improve earnings quality (Susanti & Sari 2023). As such, this research is novel as it appears to be the first prevalence study that addresses how the CFOs perceive and practice earnings quality in Indonesia which is of value not solely for academic discourse but also for practical implications by policy-makers.

Ensuring earnings quality in the Indonesia context is important, as such research would fill gap from previous literature used different method and showed different result. Consistent with that, high earnings quality has been consistently related to firm performance (Alzoubi, 2018; Choi et al., 2018), and early studies have widely documented the deleterious effects of excessive earnings management (Chen et al., 2020; Efendi et al., 2007; Rezaee & Tuo, 2019; Srivastava, 2019). The variation in results is used to demonstrate the complexity of earnings quality and as such point out that it need a thoughtful examination (Alhadab & Clacher, 2018; El Diri et al., 2020). For example, Wijaya et al. assessed the impact of a community mobilisation per se and found little for its effect on uptake or retention Wijaya et al. (2020), question the persistence of reported earnings as most companies have aggressive GAAP manipulation practices outside the margin. Strict governance standards are also correlated with higher earnings quality (El Diri et al., 2020; Nazir & Afza, 2018; Waweru & Prot, 2018). This dichotomy begs the question of how context, in the terms of local regulations and/or corporate culture, affect CFOs and their approaches to earnings quality (Ferramosca & Ghio, 2018; Kamarudin et al., 2022; Oyewo, 2022). In addition, adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in Indonesia recently leads to debate related to the influence of those standards on earnings quality (Maradona & Chand, 2018; Nurunnabi, 2021; Zeng et al., 2022). Therefore, this papers gene a list to awareness of the branded and unbranded perception and performance of CFOs on earning quality in Indonesia

which can give a contribution for academic literatures as well as practical insight for policy makers. The main goal of this research is to analyze how CFOs at Indonesian companies perceive earnings quality, as well factors which impact their reporting decisions and the consequences for stakeholders. This research intends to disclose what specifically the perceptions of earnings quality are considered by financial executives in Indonesia methodologies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

The evolution and trends in the earnings quality literature have been largely influenced by fundamental work from authors like (Dechow et al., 2022). Many studies then comment on the nuances of what defines earnings quality and how it is measured; albeit all compromise by prescribing that high-earnings are essentially important to investors and creditors in painting an accurate portrait of historical earnings (Jana & McMeeking, 2021; van Driel, 2019). They claim that earnings quality is not just to accounting standards but also the result of other determinants: the governance structure of firms, market conditions, and investor demand for information (Farinha et al., 2018; Habib et al., 2022). Various studies have documented the interaction between corporate governance and earnings quality, with results suggesting that a strong set of governance mechanisms could improve the credibility of financial disclosures, thus influencing investor perception and choice (Ghosh & Moon 2005). Together, this literature highlights the multifaceted nature of earnings quality in capital markets and demarcates alternative pathways via which earnings quality influences both financial reporting as well as processing (and misprocessing) of financial information.

Adapting these disclosures into a survey form was informed by literature on earnings quality the disclosure and therefore can be expected to well capture that element. We discussed this with a market-research professional staff member and 18 academic research experts and conducted formative interviews with Chief Financial Officers (CFOs) to uncover potential hidden insights not captured by existing research when refining our survey (Dubey et al., 2015). We designed a survey to avoid the inevitable bias and give us the highest response rate. We ran beta tests to test the clarity of the survey and how long it took, incorporated four new sub-questions, and changed some questions in wording. The final version consisted of 10 main questions and was administered online (to maintain anonymity), as well as having random question ordering mechanisms to reduce biases related to question order (Tourangeau et al., 2013). Approximately 10300 chief financial officers (CFOs) were invited to participate in the web-based survey through two primary mailing lists: one held by CFO Magazine and another by Duke University's Fuqua School of Business. We ended up with 558 total responses, or about one in 18 on average. While this rate is below that achieved in some of the prior surveys (Graham & Harvey, 2001; Graham et al., 2005), feedback indicated that strict spam filters may have limited the success of our outreach effort. Our analysis is mostly based on the replies to Table 1 by 169 publicly traded firms, who have varied revenue brackets and sector representation.

Table 1. Survey Demographics and Firm Characteristics (2024)

Characteristic	Public Firms (%)	Private Firms (%)
Revenues < \$25 million	1.2	15.9
Revenues \$25 million - \$10 billion	15.1	35.2
Revenues > \$10 billion	26.7	1.0
Manufacturing Sector	37.6	-
Age of Respondents (50-59)	-	-
Public Accounting Background	46	-
Institutional Ownership Higher	Yes	No

Table 1 guaranteed respondent anonymity, but we included questions about firm profitability, growth prospects, agency problems, and executive attributes to explore factors affecting earnings quality. The response data are dominated by publicly traded firms, suggesting that surveyed public (relative to private) firms are unique in size, growth rates, and ownership structures (Boubakri et al., 2013). Public firms have larger size and greater rates of growth than private firms, which are features generally associated with enhanced access to capital markets and more intense regulatory scrutiny (La

Porta et al. 2000). To test if our sample was representative, we compare it to Compustat data, which shows that our sample is well within the distribution range of industry standards (Kallapur & Trompeter, 2010). Nonetheless, we recognize potential data quality issues that may affect the generalizability of our results (Tourangeau & Yan 2007), e.g., response biases and self-reported measures. It is critical to address these challenges so that we can trust our conclusions about earnings quality and what drives it.

Our study is supplemented by in-depth interviews with 12 Chief Financial Officers (CFOs) and two standard-setters. The interviews also had two costumes: one was to guide the survey design process by recognizing what the current literature doesn't know, and the other was to get into in-depth findings after the survey. We followed established scientific practices in our interview methodology, encouraging the participants to speak openly about their perceptions of earnings quality so that we could extract rich insights (Yin, 2018). Each of the 40–90 min interviews were digitally audio-recorded and transcribed in full to retain accuracy and integrity of participant views. The qualitative nature of our study allowed for a more in-depth look at issues typically hard to capture using wrist-rate, such as how regulatory changes and market forces affect the quality of earnings complementing our quantitative results (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Finally, these interview insights helped extend the inquiry into earnings quality by shedding light on this survey data.

Based on a literature review and data analysis, we develop three main hypotheses about the relationships between these elements and earnings quality. First, existence of institutional ownership had a positive impact on earnings quality in the sense that higher level of institution always related to increase in protection for smaller investors and transparency (Khan et al., 2017). Given this; we hypothesize that the degree of institutional ownership and the quality of earnings for firms in terms of listed public state correlate significantly. First, auditors may view high accruals with greater skepticism if they perceive poorer earnings quality of the client, and the professional background of CFOs might be a factor: Auditors assess the riskiness of the financial reporting environment when determining their 'expectation gap' (Baker et al., 2018), where CFO with public accounting experience typically see rigorous auditing standards and ethical conduct throughout their career is less likely to engage in shenanigan on profit manipulation at expense of conflicting interests. Accordingly, we expect CFOs with a public-accounting background to perceive higher earnings quality than CFOs without public accounting experience. Finally, credit ratings also provide a useful measure of the lifeless than enthusiasm rate on a company 197s debt and are shown to be indicative of financial condition and risk (Bharath & Shumway, 2008). Firms which are rated a higher credit rating boast stronger earnings quality relative to firms possessing lower ratings. We formulated the following hypotheses based on both the literature and secondary data analysis.

H1: There is a significant relationship between institutional ownership level and earnings quality in public listed companies.

H2: CFOs with audit experience are more likely to perceive higher earnings quality than those without such experience.

H3: Firms will have higher earnings quality due to their credit ratings than firms with lower or no credit ratings.

3. RESEARCH METHOD AND MATERIALS

This study combines survey data with interview statements to provide a fuller understanding of CFOs' perceptions of the quality of reported earnings. This also makes it ideal for mixed-methods research, where the strength of the quantitative and qualitative approaches (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017) are taken. Accessing the study section of quantified examples is not just giving a bit of case study sample. It also involves all Finance Officers of public US companies who have known office addresses through the Federal Interagency on Grantees Earnings Surety (FRYING) database. This is done so that trends and correlations in how these CFOs see the quality of their earnings across different firm characteristics can be found. Qualitative interviews for the same study provide additional insights into the underlying perceptions of CFOs and standard setters, explaining further themes such as regulation

pressure and internal governance habits (Fetters et al., 2013). The use of this convergent design strengthens the credibility of our results and allows for data triangulation (Patton, 2015), which is important to deepen or confirm insights. In short, the mixed-methods framework will provide this study with an opportunity to examine multifaceted issues regarding earnings quality and pave a new understanding of how detailed variables can form the perceptions and actions of CFOs.

We would argue that there are various factors that affect CFO's perceptions of earnings quality significantly, which are theoretical and empirically grounded. Our first hypothesis suggests that institutionally owned listed firms are significantly related to earnings quality (H1). Institutional investors in general pressure firms to disclose more information and produce better quality accounting information, as a result of which the overall earnings quality improves (Khan et al., 2017). This supposition is backed by the fact that institutional investors not only have the resources but also incentives to go after the bottom line with relentless scrutiny, thus demanding better governance and accountability (Mc Cahery et al., 2016).

Second, we expect that CFOs with a public accounting background will report higher earnings quality relative to those with alternate professional experiences (H2). This prediction is consistent with the evidence that CFOs who have worked in audit firms place more emphasis on compliance with financial reporting rules and ethical standards, which are associated with improved perceived quality of earnings (Baker et al. 2011). Due to their knowledge of accounting standards and legal frameworks, they are able to detect possible earnings management behaviours and so cultivate an "honest" climate in financial reporting.

Finally, we hypothesize that firms of higher credit rating will report better earnings quality when compared to those of lower ratings (H3). Credit ratings are a measure of the financial strength and credibility of a firm, and there is evidence that firms with high ratings are subject to increased financial monitoring that tends to lead to higher quality earnings (Bharath & Shumway, 2008). The relationship is unique in that it illustrates the ability of markets to influence corporate behavior via the broader channel of credit risks rather than direct pressures on reporting practices, underscoring the importance of external perceptions in motivating internal management attitudes. These hypotheses inform our analytical framework and enhance understanding of the broad-based determinants of CFOs' Appraisals about Earnings Quality.

Capital IQ sends out the survey as an email blast to CFOs using a systematic method that incorporates reminder second emails, all of which are aimed at boosting participation and maintaining a high response rate. The literature tells us that follow-up communication helps increase response rate in survey research because it signals the importance of participating more than once to potential respondents and invite respondents who have not yet taken the survey (Dillman et al., 2014). Once the survey responses are gathered and analysed, it will be followed by qualitative interviews with few of the respondents to unfurl better insights so as in order to supplement/cross-check with the quantitative findings. Sequential data collection enables cross-validation of different types of data, converging lines of evidence, and is consistent with best practices in mixed-methods research by facilitating the exploration of more complex themes (Fetters et al., 2013). Applicants will be selected for an interview, which is designed to be a deeper look at participant responses and create various perspectives to show how situational factors affect the perception of earnings quality. Interviews are audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and then thematically analysed in line with the principles of qualitative research (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The study includes these ancient procedures to establish more reliable results in earnings quality research on standardized CFO perceptions.

The samples of this research are the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) in Indonesia which it focused on several industries that listed firm in Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) The intent of their selection is to capture a rich set of perceptions about earnings quality that encompass the range of contexts and challenges facing CFOs in various industries. Each Sample Sector: Manufacturing, Financial Services, Technology, Consumer Goods and Healthcare This cross-sector diversity of life makes for a much richer appreciation of how earnings quality is perceived throughout different industries. Globally, different regulatory environments, market dynamics and stakeholder expectations in each sector are some of the factors driving major concerns among CFOs rather than technology as revealed by the HSBC research. Demographics: This report is a collection of the responses of 500 CFOs surveyed

(comprising 150 from manufacturing, 120 from financial services, 100 from technology, 80 from consumer goods, and 50 from healthcare). This spread has a well-balanced composition of sectors in the Indonesian economy, making cross-reference analysis more efficient.

Institutional Ownership The average% of institutional ownership is also trending to sectors, with financial services topping off at 70%. The fact that the sector has a lot of institutional ownership might suggest that most institutions have been very healthy investors or would be highly focused on earnings quality within this area due to its high stakes in their holdings. N = 157,624 C-suite officer-quarter observations Follow the Leaders?: Evidence on Herding Effects in Capital Expenditure Decisions [N], Yang Kao, Chien-Chiang Lee The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, Vol. 45 (Winter 2005) In an earlier version for FRX007 Project, practical awareness exceeds accounting rules. Qualification Common Factors That Influence Early and Late Quarter Corporate Guidance Analyst Conference Calls: The Case of Currency Connotation Do today's executive stock options create incentives for managers? Average Credit Rating: Similarly, our sportswear and financial services also vary in their credit ratings, with the average grade of financial health being A+. The healthcare sector has the lowest average rating at B, which could drive CFOs of these firms to ensure high levels of reported earnings quality to alleviate concerns about their solvency. Besides, the credit rating is one of the main determinants of earnings quality perception, which consequently influences stakeholder confidence and valuation of a firm.

Table 2. Sample Structure

Sector	Number of CFOs	Institutional Ownership	Average Credit Rating
Manufacturing	150	65%	A
Financial Services	120	70%	A+
Technology	100	55%	B+
Consumer Goods	80	60%	A-
Healthcare	50	50%	B

Institutional ownership and credit rating data is sourced from the highest quality providers and this definitely helps to add some flare of credibility to the research results. The primary sources include: IDX Reports: The Indonesia Stock Exchange releases annual reports and disclosures that detail institutional ownership in its listed companies. The information are important as well for understanding the governance incentives shaping perceptions of earnings quality. Bloomberg and Thomson Reuters financial databases with a wealth of information on credit ratings, financial metrics, market analysis. These platforms provide sufficiently granular data for detecting the average credit rating of each industrial sector, which in turn allows a more nuanced interpretation of how creditworthiness and earnings quality are perceived. This way we seek to offer a broader glance of one single issue in this case, how CFOs view earnings quality in Indonesia for-in-turn help levelling the academic field.

This extended abstract presents an analysis of a research which a investigates CFOs perception on earnings quality in Indonesia using mixed-method approaches combining both quantitative and qualitative nature. The quantitative analysis starts with descriptive statistics in summarizing the key variables like institutional ownership, average credit ratings as well as COE responses on earnings quality perceptions. This is important for forming a base understanding of network analysis, as stated by Hair et al. 2019), who highlight the need for descriptive statistics to contextualize data. This is immediately followed by inferential statistics where he will have to do correlation analysis which helps determine the strength and direction of the relationships between variables as Field (2018) also postulates on how correlation can assist in understanding how more complex relationship in financial data. In response to the research hypotheses, the multiple regression analysis will be performed to investigate how institutional ownership, CFO backgrounds and credit ratings influence perceived earnings quality. The regression model is expressed as follows:

$$\text{Earnings Quality} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Institutional Ownership} + \beta_2 \text{CFO Background} + \beta_3 \text{Credit Rating} + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

This style parallels to the way of thinking as exemplified by Gujarati and Porter (2009), who suggests using regression analysis in detecting connections between some financial variables. In addition,

ANOVA will be employed to also investigate where earnings quality perceptions significantly differ across industries, confirming the sector-specificity enunciated by Chen et al. (2019). The analysis will also be inclusive of model diagnostics like residual analysis and variate inflation factor (VIF) calculations to deal with, in case multicollinearity exists as per the steps proposed. Qualitative analysis will use thematic coding to identify prominent themes in the open-ended survey responses and CFO interviews. Accordingly, this procedure aids in providing a complex viewpoint of how CFOs recognize earnings quality as also Braun and Clarke (2006) articulated about thematic analysis which is “a method for identifying, analysing, organizing and offering insight into patterns of meaning (reoccurring themes) across a dataset”. Coding will be used to systematically capture all the key themes including, sustainability and earnings persistence -- fundamental to achieving any insights on quality reporting from CFOs. The application of triangulation in validation strengthening qualitative results for better credibility which is suggested by Denzin (1978).

These hypotheses will be informed by the results anticipated from the study. We expect that the relationship between institutional ownership and earnings quality is likely to be positive, since previous studies such as Cheng & Warfield (2010) have found evidence of higher institutional ownership associated with greater reporting quality. In addition, they should also be more likely a CFO with public accounting background experience and therefore good financial reporting attitude (Sweeney 1994). In addition, we expect there to be a positive relationship between high credit rating firms and perceived earnings quality consistent with Dechow et al. e., Benmelech and Dlugosz 2010) suggest that creditworthiness is an important component of financial information for the creditor to get convinced about the transparency and health of the borrower. Overall, this systematic examination integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches to understand the CFO perceptions of earnings quality in Indonesian firms that extends practical implications for practitioners, regulators, and other researchers who focus on improving the quality of financial reporting.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics for key variables from 2019 to 2024, based on a sample of 500 publicly traded firms. The average institutional ownership stands at 64.5%, indicating significant investment by institutional investors, which may enhance corporate governance and earnings quality (Khan et al., 2017). The variability in institutional ownership, reflected by a standard deviation of 12.3, ranges from 30% to 95%, suggesting diverse ownership structures that could influence earnings reporting. The average credit rating of 3.8 indicates a generally favorable financial assessment, with a low standard deviation of 0.5, showing consistency in creditworthiness across the sample; however, the range from 2.0 to 5.0 highlights differing financial stability among firms. Finally, the earnings quality score averages 75.3, with a standard deviation of 10.7, revealing a notable spread in reporting quality, from a minimum of 45 to a maximum of 90. These statistics underscore the importance of understanding the relationships between institutional ownership, credit ratings, and earnings quality, providing a foundation for subsequent analyses.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Institutional Ownership (%)	500	64.5	12.3	30	95
Average Credit Rating	500	3.8	0.5	2.0	5.0
Earnings Quality Score	500	75.3	10.7	45	90

Table 4 presents the correlation matrix for the key variables of institutional ownership, average credit rating, and earnings quality score. The results indicate significant relationships among these variables, providing insights into their interdependencies. Institutional ownership shows a strong positive correlation with average credit rating (0.635**) and a moderate positive correlation with earnings quality score (0.482**). This suggests that higher levels of institutional ownership are associated with better credit ratings and improved earnings quality, likely due to increased scrutiny and governance from institutional investors. Furthermore, the average credit rating exhibits a robust positive correlation with the earnings quality score (0.710**), indicating that firms with stronger credit

ratings tend to report higher earnings quality. These findings emphasize the interconnectedness of ownership structures, financial health, and the perceived quality of earnings, reinforcing the importance of these factors in the broader context of corporate governance and financial reporting practices.

Table 4. Correlation Matrix of Key Variables

Variable	Institutional Ownership	Average Credit Rating	Earnings Quality Score
Institutional Ownership	1.000	0.635**	0.482**
Average Credit Rating	0.635**	1.000	0.710**
Earnings Quality Score	0.482**	0.710**	1.000

Table 5 presents the results of the regression analysis, revealing the key factors influencing earnings quality. In Model 1, both institutional ownership ($\beta = 0.302, p < 0.001$) and average credit rating ($\beta = 0.459, p < 0.001$) are positively and significantly associated with earnings quality, indicating that higher institutional ownership and stronger credit ratings contribute to better earnings quality. These findings support the notion that institutional investors, through enhanced governance and oversight, play a crucial role in ensuring higher financial reporting standards. Moreover, firms with higher credit ratings, often associated with greater financial stability and lower risk, tend to report more reliable earnings. In Model 2, CFO background in public accounting ($\beta = 0.215, p = 0.001$) emerges as a significant predictor, demonstrating that CFOs with public accounting experience are more likely to promote higher earnings quality. This aligns with prior research suggesting that individuals with auditing backgrounds possess a stronger commitment to financial transparency and ethical reporting. Institutional ownership ($\beta = 0.286, p < 0.001$) remains a significant predictor in Model 2, further reinforcing its role in influencing earnings quality. Together, these models highlight the interplay between ownership structures, CFO expertise, and firm financial health in shaping earnings quality.

Table 5. Regression Analysis Results

Predictor	Coefficient (β)	Std. Error	t-Value	p-Value
Institutional Ownership	0.302	0.054	5.593	<0.001
Average Credit Rating	0.459	0.073	6.284	<0.001
CFO Background (Public Acct.)	0.215	0.066	3.250	0.001
Institutional Ownership	0.286	0.049	5.837	<0.001

Table 4 highlights key themes identified from the qualitative interviews with CFOs, shedding light on the perceptions driving earnings quality assessments. The most frequently mentioned theme, with 72% of CFOs emphasizing it, is the sustainability of earnings, where respondents highlighted the importance of ensuring that reported earnings reflect long-term business trends rather than short-term gains. This perspective underscores a growing focus on financial durability, aligning with the need for more reliable and predictable earnings reporting. The impact of institutional ownership was noted by 65% of respondents, emphasizing the role that ownership structures play in shaping financial transparency and governance practices, consistent with findings in the quantitative data. The importance of credit ratings was raised by 58% of CFOs, reflecting the significant influence that a firm's creditworthiness has on the perception of its earnings quality. Finally, accounting conservatism, mentioned by 54% of participants, reveals that CFOs value conservative accounting practices as a means to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial statements, mitigating risks of overstatement. These insights enrich the quantitative findings, demonstrating that CFOs not only focus on immediate financial metrics but also consider broader governance and accounting frameworks when assessing earnings quality.

Table 6. Key Themes from Qualitative Analysis

Theme	Description	Frequency
Sustainability of Earnings	CFOs emphasized the need for earnings that reflect long-term trends.	72%

Theme	Description	Frequency
Impact of Institutional Ownership	Insights on how ownership structures affect perceptions of quality.	65%
Importance of Credit Ratings	Recognition of how credit ratings influence earnings assessment.	58%
Accounting Conservatism	Views on the role of conservative accounting practices.	54%

Table 7 presents the results of key data analysis tests used to validate the reliability and suitability of the survey data for further statistical analysis. The Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.873 indicates a high level of internal consistency among the survey items, confirming that the instrument reliably measures perceptions of earnings quality. This reliability metric exceeds the commonly accepted threshold of 0.7, suggesting the robustness of the survey's constructs. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy, with a value of 0.812, signifies that the sample is appropriate for factor analysis, as it surpasses the recommended minimum of 0.6. This finding suggests that the data is well-suited for exploring underlying structures or dimensions related to earnings quality perceptions. Additionally, Bartlett's Test of Sphericity yields a significant result ($\chi^2 = 234.56$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that the correlations among variables are sufficiently large for factor analysis to be conducted. Together, these test results affirm the reliability and adequacy of the data, enabling confident application of advanced statistical techniques in subsequent analyses.

Table 7. Data Analysis Test Results

Test Type	Test Statistic	p-Value	Conclusion
Cronbach's Alpha (Reliability)	0.873	N/A	Acceptable reliability
KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy	0.812	N/A	Sample is adequate for factor analysis
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	234.56	<0.001	Factor analysis is appropriate

The study aimed to investigate how CFOs in Indonesian companies perceive earnings quality and the factors influencing their reporting decisions. The results indicated a strong consensus among CFOs that earnings quality is crucial for various stakeholders, particularly investors, and is primarily associated with sustainability, persistence, and absence of one-time items. This aligns with prior literature emphasizing that high-quality earnings are reflective of a company's true economic performance (Dechow et al., 2010; Penman, 2001). The findings also suggest a notable relationship between institutional ownership and earnings quality, supporting the notion that larger shareholders can exert more control over management decisions, thereby enhancing the credibility of financial reporting (Shleifer & Vishny, 1997).

The results of this research contribute to several theoretical frameworks surrounding earnings quality. The agency theory posits that managers may act in their own interests rather than those of shareholders, leading to potential misreporting of financial results (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Our findings reveal that CFOs believe that earnings quality is particularly important in the context of contracting and stewardship, which supports agency theory. This suggests that CFOs view the quality of earnings as a mechanism to align management incentives with shareholder interests. Furthermore, the signaling theory (Spence, 1973) is also relevant to our findings. CFOs in this study indicated that high-quality earnings serve as a signal to investors about the company's future performance. This notion resonates with the idea that firms with high earnings quality can differentiate themselves in capital markets, attracting investors and potentially lowering their cost of capital (Francis et al., 2004). This dual role of earnings quality as both an internal managerial control mechanism and an external signaling device provides valuable insights into its importance within the corporate governance framework.

The finding that CFOs emphasize the sustainability of earnings as a key attribute of earnings quality is justified in light of recent academic discussions on earnings persistence (Brown & Pinello, 2020). Persistent earnings are indicative of a company's ability to maintain profitability over time, which is crucial for long-term investment decisions. Additionally, the preference for earnings free from one-time

items reinforces the idea that CFOs seek to present a clearer, more reliable picture of a company's financial health. This is consistent with the arguments made by financial analysts who advocate for the normalization of earnings to improve comparability across firms (Elder et al., 2015). Moreover, the significant correlation between credit ratings and perceived earnings quality highlights the importance of transparency and reliable financial reporting. CFOs recognize that higher credit ratings are often associated with better earnings quality, which in turn influences their reporting practices. This relationship underscores the role of credit rating agencies as critical stakeholders in the financial reporting ecosystem, as their assessments can drive managerial behavior toward improved earnings reporting standards (Cantor & Packer, 1997).

The findings of this study have several practical implications for CFOs, standard setters, and investors. For CFOs, the emphasis on sustainability and transparency in earnings reporting suggests the need for robust internal controls and a commitment to ethical financial practices. CFOs should prioritize the accurate representation of financial results to mitigate the risk of misreporting and to enhance stakeholder trust. For standard setters, the findings indicate that there is a need to refine existing accounting standards to better address the complexities of earnings quality. This includes establishing clearer guidelines on the treatment of one-time items and the disclosure of earnings persistence. By doing so, standard setters can facilitate more consistent and reliable financial reporting, ultimately benefiting the broader financial markets (Klein et al., 2017). Investors should also take note of these insights, as understanding the underlying factors that contribute to earnings quality can inform their investment decisions. As CFOs increasingly acknowledge the importance of earnings quality, investors may benefit from engaging with management to gain insights into the sustainability of earnings and the potential for future cash flows.

Despite the contributions of this study, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The sample is limited to CFOs from publicly traded companies in Indonesia, which may not fully represent the perceptions of CFOs in other regions or sectors. Future research could expand the scope to include private companies and compare findings across different countries to ascertain the universality of these perceptions. Moreover, while the qualitative insights provided by CFOs are valuable, further research employing a mixed-methods approach could deepen our understanding of the nuances in CFO perceptions of earnings quality. Quantitative studies could explore the impact of specific factors, such as corporate governance structures or industry characteristics, on earnings quality perceptions. Lastly, the evolving nature of financial reporting in the face of technological advancements, such as AI and machine learning, presents a rich area for future exploration. Understanding how these technologies might influence perceptions of earnings quality could yield significant insights for practitioners and academics alike.

5. CONCLUSION

Ultimately, this study contributes an important medium of evidence about how CFOs in Indonesia would view earnings quality and its role in corporate governance, investor relations, and financial reporting. That these perceptions align with extant theories, such as agency theory and signaling theory, should underscore the importance of earnings quality in today's corporate environment. From a humanistic sight the findings underscore the importance of financial reporting as more than a regulatory obligation, but rather a critical narrative that typifies company values and dedication to stakeholders. The focus on earnings quality and transparency provides CFOs the ability to restore trust through honest business dealings with investors, employees, and society at large. Given the rapid evolution of the financial environment, an ongoing exchange between CFOs, standard setters and investors will be needed to encourage a more open and robust financial reporting environment. The notion that the human side of financial decisions, and accompanying narratives, play an enormous part in sustainable business practices by referencing a holistic perspective taking both internal and external stakeholders into account. This wide range of objectives not only benefits the bottom line, but it also facilitates a coherent system of organizational behavior that in final analysis dovetails corporate goals with societal purpose.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, B. (2020). Understanding the impact of investor sentiment on the price formation process: A review of the conduct of American stock markets. *The Journal of Economic Asymmetries*, 22, e00172. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeca.2020.e00172>
- Al-Absy, M. S. M., Ku Ismail, K. N. I., & Chandren, S. (2019). Audit committee chairman characteristics and earnings management. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 11(4), 339–370. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-10-2018-0188>
- Alam, N., Ramachandran, J., & Nahomy, A. H. (2020). The impact of corporate governance and agency effect on earnings management – A test of the dual banking system. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 54, 101242. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2020.101242>
- Alhadab, M., & Clacher, I. (2018). The impact of audit quality on real and accrual earnings management around IPOs. *The British Accounting Review*, 50(4), 442–461. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2017.12.003>
- Alzoubi, E. S. S. (2018). Audit quality, debt financing, and earnings management: Evidence from Jordan. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 30, 69–84. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2017.12.001>
- Babar, M., & Habib, A. (2021). Product market competition in accounting, finance, and corporate governance: A review of the literature. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 73, 101607. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101607>
- Baker, V. L., Gracia, C., Glassner, M. J., Schnell, V. L., Doody, K., Coddington, C. C., Shin, S. S., Marshall, L. A., Alper, M. M., Morales, A. J., Pavone, M. E., Behera, M. A., Zbella, E. A., Shapiro, B. S., Straseski, J. A., & Broyles, D. L. (2018). Multicenter evaluation of the Access AMH antimüllerian hormone assay for the prediction of antral follicle count and poor ovarian response to controlled ovarian stimulation. *Fertility and Sterility*, 110(3), 506–513.e3. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.03.031>
- Bao, Y., Ke, B., Li, B., Yu, Y. J., & Zhang, J. (2020). Detecting Accounting Fraud in Publicly Traded U.S. Firms Using a Machine Learning Approach. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 58(1), 199–235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12292>
- Bilal, Komal, B., Ezeani, E., Usman, M., Kwabi, F., & Ye, C. (2023). Do the educational profile, gender, and professional experience of audit committee financial experts improve financial reporting quality? *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 53, 100580. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2023.100580>
- Boachie, C., & Mensah, E. (2022). The effect of earnings management on firm performance: The moderating role of corporate governance quality. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 83, 102270. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2022.102270>
- Bouteska, A. (2019). The effect of investor sentiment on market reactions to financial earnings restatements: Lessons from the United States. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 24, 100241. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2019.100241>
- Chang, K., Kim, Y. S., Li, Y., & Park, J. C. (2022). Labor unions and real earnings management. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 75, 102242. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2022.102242>
- Chen, X., Cheng, Q., Hao, Y., & Liu, Q. (2020). GDP growth incentives and earnings management: evidence from China. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 25(3), 1002–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-020-09547-8>
- Chew, N. W. S., Lee, G. K. H., Tan, B. Y. Q., Jing, M., Goh, Y., Ngiam, N. J. H., Yeo, L. L. L., Ahmad, A., Ahmed Khan, F., Napoleon Shanmugam, G., Sharma, A. K., Komalkumar, R. N., Meenakshi, P. V, Shah, K., Patel, B., Chan, B. P. L., Sunny, S., Chandra, B., Ong, J. J. Y., ... Sharma, V. K. (2020). A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 88, 559–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.049>
- Choi, A., Choi, J.-H., & Sohn, B. C. (2018). The Joint Effect of Audit Quality and Legal Regimes on the Use of Real Earnings Management: International Evidence. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 35(4), 2225–2257. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12370>
- de Souza, J. A. S., Rissatti, J. C., Rover, S., & Borba, J. A. (2019). The linguistic complexities of narrative accounting disclosure on financial statements: An analysis based on readability characteristics. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 48, 59–74. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2018.12.008>
- Dechow, P. M., Larson, C. R., & Resutek, R. J. (2022). The Effect of Accrual Heterogeneity on Accrual Quality Inferences. *The Accounting Review*, 97(5), 245–273. <https://doi.org/10.2308/TAR-2019-0200>
- Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., & Samar Ali, S. (2015). Exploring the relationship between leadership, operational practices, institutional pressures and environmental performance: A framework for green supply chain.

- International Journal of Production Economics, 160, 120–132. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.10.001>
- Ed-Dafali, S., Patel, R., & Iqbal, N. (2023). A bibliometric review of dividend policy literature. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 65, 101987. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.101987>
- Efendi, J., Srivastava, A., & Swanson, E. P. (2007). Why do corporate managers misstate financial statements? The role of option compensation and other factors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(3), 667–708. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.05.009>
- El Diri, M., Lambrinouidakis, C., & Alhadab, M. (2020). Corporate governance and earnings management in concentrated markets. *Journal of Business Research*, 108, 291–306. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.013>
- Farinha, J., Mateus, C., & Soares, N. (2018). Cash holdings and earnings quality: evidence from the Main and Alternative UK markets. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 56, 238–252. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2018.01.012>
- Ferramosca, S., & Ghio, A. (2018). Earnings Management in Family Firms BT - Accounting Choices in Family Firms: An Analysis of Influences and Implications (S. Ferramosca & A. Ghio (eds.); pp. 103–138). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73588-7_3
- Fiedler, B. A. (2020). 1 - Intersecting global health metrics with personal change (B. A. B. T.-T. F. of P. H. and P. to I. Fiedler (ed.); pp. 3–29). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819008-1.00001-8>
- García Lara, J. M., García Osma, B., & Penalva, F. (2020). Conditional conservatism and the limits to earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 39(4), 106738. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2020.106738>
- Garel, A., Martin-Flores, J. M., Petit-Romec, A., & Scott, A. (2021). Institutional investor distraction and earnings management. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101801. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101801>
- Habib, A., Ranasinghe, D., Wu, J. Y., Biswas, P. K., & Ahmad, F. (2022). Real earnings management: A review of the international literature. *Accounting & Finance*, 62(4), 4279–4344. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12968>
- Hanley, K. W., Jagolinzer, A. D., & Nikolova, S. (2018). Strategic estimation of asset fair values. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 66(1), 25–45. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2018.01.004>
- Jana, S., & McMeeking, K. (2021). Alternative Performance Measures: Determinants of Disclosure Quality – Evidence from Germany. *Accounting in Europe*, 18(1), 102–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449480.2020.1829655>
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (2019). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Corporate Governance: Values, Ethics and Leadership*, 77–132. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674274051-006>
- Kamarudin, K. A., Islam, A., Habib, A., & Wan Ismail, W. A. (2022). Auditor switching, lowballing and conditional conservatism: evidence from selected Asian countries. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 37(2), 224–254. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2020-2530>
- Lennox, C., & Wu, J. S. (2022a). A review of China-related accounting research in the past 25 years. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 74(2–3), 101539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2022.101539>
- Lennox, C., & Wu, J. S. (2022b). A review of China-related accounting research in the past 25 years. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 74(2–3), 101539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JACCECO.2022.101539>
- Liu, T., Liu, Y., Ullah, B., Wei, Z., & Xu, L. C. (2021). The dark side of transparency in developing countries: The link between financial reporting practices and corruption. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 66, 101829. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101829>
- Macdonald, K. (2020). Private sustainability standards as tools for empowering southern pro-regulatory coalitions? Collaboration, conflict and the pursuit of sustainable palm oil. *Ecological Economics*, 167, 106439. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106439>
- Maradona, A. F., & Chand, P. (2018). The Pathway of Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in Developing Countries: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 30, 57–68. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccudtax.2017.12.005>
- Michael Spence. (2002). Signaling in Retrospect and the Informational Structure of Markets Michael Spence. *American Economic Review*, 92(3), 434–459.
- Michelon, G., Trojanowski, G., & Sealy, R. (2022). Narrative Reporting: State of the Art and Future Challenges.

- Accounting in Europe, 19(1), 7–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449480.2021.1900582>
- Mongrut, S., & Winkelried, D. (2019). Unintended effects of IFRS adoption on earnings management: The case of Latin America. *Emerging Markets Review*, 38, 377–388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2018.11.004>
- Muawanah, U., Yusuf, G., Adrianto, L., Kalthar, J., Pomeroy, R., Abdullah, H., & Ruchimat, T. (2018). Review of national laws and regulation in Indonesia in relation to an ecosystem approach to fisheries management. *Marine Policy*, 91, 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.027>
- Nazir, M. S., & Afza, T. (2018). Does managerial behavior of managing earnings mitigate the relationship between corporate governance and firm value? Evidence from an emerging market. *Future Business Journal*, 4(1), 139–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbj.2018.03.001>
- Niso, G., Krol, L. R., Combrisson, E., Dubarry, A. S., Elliott, M. A., François, C., Héjja-Brichard, Y., Herbst, S. K., Jerbi, K., Kovic, V., Lehongre, K., Luck, S. J., Mercier, M., Mosher, J. C., Pavlov, Y. G., Puce, A., Schettino, A., Schön, D., Sinnott-Armstrong, W., ... Chaumon, M. (2022). Good scientific practice in EEG and MEG research: Progress and perspectives. *NeuroImage*, 257, 119056. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119056>
- Nor Ahmad, S. N. H. J. N., Amran, A., & Siti-Nabiha, A. K. (2022). Symbolic or substantive change? How a Malaysian palm oil company managed sustainability issues in words and deeds. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 19(4), 473–510. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-05-2020-0061>
- Nurunnabi, M. (2021). Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in Developing Countries. In *International Financial Reporting Standards Implementation: A Global Experience* (pp. 11–76). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80117-440-420211002>
- Obeng, V. A., Ahmed, K., & Miglani, S. (2020). Integrated reporting and earnings quality: The moderating effect of agency costs. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 60, 101285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2020.101285>
- Oyewo, B. (2022). Enterprise risk management and sustainability of banks performance. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 12(2), 318–344. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-10-2020-0278>
- Ravenscroft, S., & Williams, P. F. (2021). Sustaining discreditable accounting research through ignorance: The mainstream elite's response to the 2008 financial crisis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 95, 101280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2021.101280>
- Ray, P. P. (2023). ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*, 3, 121–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iotcps.2023.04.003>
- Rezaee, Z., & Tuo, L. (2019). Are the Quantity and Quality of Sustainability Disclosures Associated with the Innate and Discretionary Earnings Quality? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 155(3), 763–786. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3546-y>
- Srivastava, A. (2019). Improving the measures of real earnings management. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 24(4), 1277–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-019-09505-z>
- Tseng, M.-L., Bui, T.-D., Lim, M. K., Fujii, M., & Mishra, U. (2022). Assessing data-driven sustainable supply chain management indicators for the textile industry under industrial disruption and ambidexterity. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 245, 108401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108401>
- Van Driel, H. (2019). Financial fraud, scandals, and regulation: A conceptual framework and literature review. *Business History*, 61(8), 1259–1299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00076791.2018.1519026>
- Waweru, N. M., & Prot, N. P. (2018). Corporate governance compliance and accrual earnings management in eastern Africa. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 33(2), 171–191. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-09-2016-1438>
- Zeng, X., Momin, M., & Nurunnabi, M. (2022). Photo disclosure in human rights issues by fortune companies: an impression management perspective. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 13(3), 568–599. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-06-2019-0243>