

Mapping the Diversity of Islamic Thought in Indonesia

Salim Taib¹, Mustamin Giling², Muhlis Malaka³

^{1,2,3} Postgraduate Program, Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Ternate, Ternate, Indonesia.

Email: salimtaib532@gmail.com¹, mustamingiling@iain-ternate.ac.id², muhlis@iain-ternate.ac.id³

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: July 24, 2026

Revised: October 01, 2026

Accepted: October 06, 2026

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.52970/grdis.v6i4.2553>

ABSTRACT

Indonesia is recognized as the country with the world's largest Muslim population and one of the most diverse landscapes of Islamic thought. This study aims to map the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia by examining its historical development, major intellectual traditions, and contemporary dynamics. Employing a qualitative approach with a systematic literature review design, this study follows the PRISMA 2020 framework to identify, screen, and synthesize relevant scholarly literature published between 2000 and 2026. Data were collected from Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, Crossref, and nationally accredited SINTA-indexed journals and analyzed using qualitative thematic analysis. The analysis is guided by Social Construction Theory, Kuhn's Paradigm Theory, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Theory to explain the evolution and transformation of Islamic thought in Indonesia. The findings reveal that the diversity of Islamic thought is the result of a long historical process shaped by Islamization, transnational scholarly networks, colonial encounters, educational transformation, democratization, and digitalization. The study identifies six major intellectual traditions: Traditionalism, Modernism, Neo-Modernism, Islamism, Liberal Islam, and Wasatiyyah, each characterized by distinctive epistemological foundations, interpretive approaches, and socio-religious orientations. Rather than representing ideological fragmentation, these traditions collectively constitute a dynamic and adaptive intellectual ecosystem that continuously responds to changing social, political, and cultural contexts. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that the contemporary paradigm of Wasatiyyah plays an integrative role in strengthening religious moderation, social cohesion, and democratic values within Indonesia's multicultural society. By providing a comprehensive conceptual mapping of Islamic thought, this study contributes to the advancement of Islamic studies and offers a valuable reference for scholars, policymakers, and Islamic educational institutions seeking to promote inclusive, moderate, and contextually relevant Islamic scholarship.

Keywords: Islamic Thought, Intellectual Diversity, Religious Moderation.

I. Introduction

Indonesia is home to the world's largest Muslim population and represents one of the most diverse landscapes of Islamic thought in the contemporary Muslim world. This diversity is reflected not only in religious practices but also in the rich spectrum of Islamic intellectual traditions that have developed through continuous interactions among Islamic doctrines, indigenous cultures, political transformations, socio-economic changes, and global intellectual movements. Since the early processes of Islamization in the Indonesian archipelago, Islamic thought has evolved through a dynamic dialogue between the normative

sources of Islam, namely the Qur'an and Sunnah, and the socio-cultural realities of Indonesian society, producing distinctive intellectual traditions that continue to shape the country's religious and public life (Azra, 2004a). The diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia is also closely related to the recognition of *ijtihad* as a legitimate mechanism for interpreting religious texts in response to changing circumstances. Differences in educational backgrounds, schools of jurisprudence, theological orientations, interpretive methodologies, and socio-political contexts have given rise to a wide range of intellectual currents, including traditionalism, modernism, neo-modernism, Islamism, liberal Islam, transformative Islam, and the discourse of *wasatiyyah* (Islamic moderation). Each tradition has its own epistemological foundations, methodological approaches, and socio-religious orientations for addressing contemporary issues facing Indonesian Muslims (Nata, 2001). Historically, the development of Islamic thought in Indonesia has been inseparable from the contributions of major Islamic organizations such as Nahdlatul Ulama (NU), Muhammadiyah, Persatuan Islam (Persis), Al-Irsyad, Nahdlatul Wathan, and various contemporary Islamic intellectual movements emerging from universities and civil society organizations. These institutions have functioned as important agents in preserving classical Islamic scholarship while simultaneously promoting intellectual renewal in response to modern challenges. Consequently, Indonesian Islam has developed into a dynamic intellectual tradition characterized by continuous negotiation among inherited religious authority, local cultural values, and global Islamic discourses.

The acceleration of globalization, digital transformation, and information technology has further reshaped the landscape of Islamic thought in Indonesia. Digital media have become influential platforms for producing, disseminating, and contesting Islamic knowledge, enabling religious interpretations to circulate beyond traditional institutions such as *pesantren*, mosques, and universities. As a result, Muslims increasingly encounter a plurality of religious narratives and competing interpretations that differ in their epistemological assumptions, legal methodologies, and ideological orientations. This phenomenon demonstrates that contemporary Islamic thought is no longer developed exclusively through conventional scholarly institutions but increasingly through decentralized digital networks that reshape religious authority and public engagement (Abdullah, 2014). The contemporary diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia can also be understood through the interaction between textual interpretation and contextual engagement with Indonesian social realities. Islamic scholars and intellectuals increasingly address issues that were not explicitly discussed in classical Islamic jurisprudence, including environmental sustainability, digital ethics, gender relations, democracy, human rights, religious diversity, and social justice (Maulana et al., 2026). This development has encouraged the emergence of interpretive approaches that seek to preserve the normative foundations of Islam while responding constructively to changing social conditions. Consequently, Indonesian Islamic thought cannot be adequately understood through a single theological or ideological framework but rather as a multidimensional intellectual landscape in which textual, rational, contextual, and *maqāsid*-oriented approaches intersect (Syafaq et al., 2026).

Another important dimension of this diversity is the relationship between Islamic intellectual traditions and educational institutions. *Pesantren*, madrasah, universities, Islamic higher education institutions, and civil society organizations have played different roles in transmitting, interpreting, and developing Islamic knowledge (Ikhsanudin et al., 2022). These institutions do not merely reproduce established religious doctrines but also serve as spaces for intellectual dialogue, critical inquiry, and adaptation to contemporary challenges. Differences in curricula, scholarly traditions, pedagogical orientations, and institutional environments contribute to the formation of diverse patterns of Islamic reasoning among Indonesian Muslims. Mapping these institutional dimensions is therefore essential for understanding how particular schools of thought are reproduced, transformed, and transmitted across generations (Halim et al., 2026). While such diversity enriches Indonesia's Islamic intellectual heritage, it also presents significant challenges. Differences in the interpretation of the Qur'an and Sunnah have occasionally developed into ideological polarization characterized by exclusivism, competing claims to religious truth, and social tensions. Public debates concerning religious pluralism, democracy, human rights, gender equality, Islamic law, the relationship between religion and the state, the implementation of *shari'ah*, and the influence

of digital technology on religious authority illustrate the increasingly complex nature of Islamic discourse in Indonesia. These developments indicate that intellectual diversity should not be viewed merely as theological disagreement but also as a reflection of the dynamic process through which Islamic thought continuously responds to evolving social realities.

A considerable body of literature has examined various dimensions of Islamic thought in Indonesia. Abuddin Nata classifies Indonesian Islamic thought into several major streams, including substantive, rational, transformative, actual, and inclusive-pluralist approaches that have emerged throughout the history of Islamic intellectual development in the country (Nata, 2001). Likewise, Azyumardi Azra argues that the distinctive characteristics of Indonesian Islam were historically shaped by transnational networks of Muslim scholars connecting the Middle East and the Indonesian archipelago, resulting in a moderate, adaptive, and culturally accommodative Islamic tradition (Azra & Hasan, 2002). More recent studies published in nationally accredited journals have further demonstrated that contemporary Islamic thought is increasingly influenced by digitalization, *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, Islamic philosophy, postcolonial perspectives, and interdisciplinary approaches to religious studies (Ahmad & Afrijar, 2026). Although previous studies have provided valuable insights into the development and diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia, the existing literature tends to examine these traditions separately, emphasizing particular scholars, organizations, ideological orientations, or specific contemporary issues. Such approaches are important for understanding individual intellectual trajectories, but they provide limited insight into the interconnectedness and transformation of Islamic thought across historical, epistemological, methodological, institutional, and socio-cultural dimensions. In addition, the rapid development of digital religious discourse has further complicated the boundaries among established intellectual traditions, making comprehensive and integrative mapping increasingly necessary. Therefore, the diversity of Indonesian Islamic thought needs to be examined not merely as a collection of distinct schools or ideological categories but as an evolving intellectual landscape shaped by interactions among religious texts, scholarly traditions, local contexts, educational institutions, socio-political changes, and contemporary global developments (Syafaq et al., 2026).

Despite these growing scholarly contributions, existing studies remain largely fragmented, focusing either on particular intellectual figures, individual Islamic organizations, or specific schools of thought. Comprehensive studies that systematically map the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia by integrating historical development, epistemological foundations, methodological characteristics, and socio-political implications remain relatively limited. Consequently, the complexity of Indonesian Islamic thought is often oversimplified into binary oppositions, such as traditional versus modern, conservative versus progressive, or textual versus contextual, thereby overlooking the interconnected and complementary nature of these intellectual traditions. Addressing this scholarly gap, the present study seeks to construct a comprehensive map of the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia through historical and conceptual analysis. It examines the major schools of Islamic thought, their epistemological foundations, principal scholars, methodological characteristics, and contributions to religious life, education, politics, and socio-cultural development. By providing a systematic understanding of Indonesia's pluralistic Islamic intellectual landscape, this study aims to contribute to the advancement of Islamic studies while strengthening the discourse on religious moderation, intellectual pluralism, and inclusive scholarship within contemporary Indonesian society.

II. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

2.1. The Concept and Diversity of Islamic Thought in Indonesia

Islamic thought refers to the intellectual efforts of Muslim scholars to interpret, understand, and contextualize Islamic teachings in response to changing historical and social circumstances. Rather than representing a single homogeneous tradition, Islamic thought encompasses multiple epistemological orientations derived from diverse interpretive methodologies (*manhaj*), legal reasoning (*ijtihad*), theological schools, and socio-cultural contexts. According to Nata, Islamic thought in Indonesia has evolved into several

intellectual streams, including traditionalism, modernism, neo-modernism, transformative Islam, liberal Islam, and contemporary Islamic moderation, each characterized by distinct epistemological assumptions and approaches to religious authority (Nata, 2001). The diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia cannot be separated from the historical process of Islamization in the archipelago. Unlike in many Muslim-majority countries where Islam spread primarily through military conquest, Islam in Indonesia developed largely through peaceful interactions involving trade, education, Sufism, and cultural accommodation. Consequently, Indonesian Islam evolved into a pluralistic intellectual tradition characterized by continuous dialogue between Islamic orthodoxy and local cultural traditions. Azra argues that the emergence of Indonesian Islamic intellectualism was strongly influenced by transnational scholarly networks connecting the Middle East and Southeast Asia, contributing to the development of a distinctive Islamic intellectual tradition that balances textual fidelity with contextual adaptation (Azra & Hasan, 2002).

Contemporary scholars further emphasize that Islamic thought should be understood as a dynamic intellectual process rather than a static doctrinal system. Abdullah proposes the paradigm of integration-interconnection, arguing that Islamic knowledge should interact constructively with the humanities, social sciences, and natural sciences to address contemporary societal challenges (Abdullah, 2014). This paradigm challenges the separation between religious knowledge and scientific or social knowledge by encouraging dialogue among different disciplines and epistemological traditions. Within the Indonesian context, such an approach is particularly significant because Islamic thought develops in close interaction with changing social, cultural, political, educational, and technological realities (Azra, 2004b). Consequently, contemporary Islamic discourse increasingly addresses issues such as democracy, religious pluralism, environmental sustainability, gender relations, social justice, digital ethics, and transformations in religious authority. Similarly, the emergence of digital communication technologies has expanded the production and dissemination of Islamic knowledge, allowing diverse interpretations to circulate beyond traditional religious institutions. Social media, digital platforms, online lectures, podcasts, and other forms of digital religious communication have created new spaces in which religious ideas are produced, interpreted, contested, and negotiated. As a result, Islamic thought in Indonesia has become increasingly multidimensional, involving both established religious authorities and emerging digital religious actors (Halim et al., 2026). This transformation indicates that the diversity of Indonesian Islamic thought cannot be mapped solely according to established organizations or theological classifications; it must also account for the interaction among intellectual traditions, institutional settings, disciplinary perspectives, social contexts, and emerging forms of digital religious authority. Such a perspective provides a more comprehensive analytical basis for understanding the continuity, transformation, and intersection of different strands of Islamic thought in contemporary Indonesia.

From a theoretical perspective, the diversity of Islamic thought can be explained through Berger and Luckmann's Social Construction Theory (Berger & Luckmann, 2016), which posits that knowledge and social reality are constructed through processes of externalization, objectivation, and internalization. In the context of Islamic thought, these processes help explain how religious knowledge and interpretations develop through continuous interactions between normative Islamic sources and the social realities in which Muslims live. Historical experiences, cultural traditions, socio-political conditions, educational institutions, and technological developments provide important contexts through which Islamic teachings are interpreted, transmitted, and institutionalized. Consequently, intellectual diversity in Islam is not solely a product of differences in textual interpretation but also reflects the social construction of religious knowledge within diverse and changing contexts. In Indonesia, the interaction between Islamic teachings and diverse historical, cultural, and socio-political environments has contributed to the emergence, transformation, and coexistence of various intellectual traditions. The diversity of these social contexts creates different conditions for the interpretation, transmission, and institutionalization of Islamic knowledge, thereby contributing to the development of diverse forms of Islamic thought. Based on this theoretical argument, the study proposes the following hypothesis:

H1 : Historical, cultural, and socio-political interactions have a significant positive influence on the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia.

2.2. Intellectual Traditions and the Development of Islamic Thought

The development of Islamic thought is closely associated with the evolution of intellectual traditions that shape methods of religious interpretation and forms of scholarly authority. Islamic intellectual traditions encompass theological reasoning (kalām), jurisprudence (fiqh), philosophy (falsafah), Sufism (tasawwuf), and contemporary interdisciplinary approaches. Throughout Islamic history, these traditions have provided epistemological foundations for responding to changing social, political, and cultural conditions while preserving the normative principles of Islam (Nasution, 1985). In Indonesia, Islamic intellectual traditions have developed through interactions between indigenous scholarship and global Islamic reform movements. Traditionalist institutions, particularly pesantren, emphasize the transmission of classical Islamic scholarship through authoritative texts (kitab kuning), whereas modernist institutions advocate reinterpretation through direct engagement with the Qur'an and Sunnah, supported by rational inquiry and contextual analysis. Neo-modernist scholars, including Nurcholish Madjid and Abdurrahman Wahid, subsequently introduced a synthesis between classical Islamic scholarship and modern intellectual discourse, promoting pluralism, democracy, and religious inclusiveness while maintaining a connection to Islamic normative traditions (Madjid, 2019). The rapid expansion of higher education and digital technology has further transformed Islamic intellectual traditions. Universities increasingly promote interdisciplinary approaches to Islamic studies by integrating Islamic sciences with sociology, anthropology, economics, political science, and education. Meanwhile, digital platforms have contributed to the decentralization of religious authority by enabling independent scholars, preachers, and online communities to participate actively in religious discourse. This transformation has expanded opportunities for intellectual innovation while simultaneously intensifying ideological competition among diverse schools of Islamic thought. An important characteristic of the development of Islamic intellectual traditions in Indonesia is the interaction and overlap among different intellectual approaches. Traditionalist, modernist, neo-modernist, reformist, and progressive approaches should not necessarily be understood as rigid or mutually exclusive categories.

In practice, Islamic scholars and institutions may combine elements of classical jurisprudence, rational interpretation, contextual approaches, and contemporary social sciences when addressing religious and social issues (Ardianto et al., 2025). These interactions have produced diverse forms of Islamic reasoning that reflect both continuity with classical scholarship and adaptation to the changing Indonesian context. This diversity demonstrates that Islamic intellectual traditions are not static structures but evolving frameworks that are continuously negotiated through scholarly debates, institutional practices, and engagement with social realities. The transformation of Islamic intellectual traditions is also increasingly influenced by contemporary issues and emerging modes of knowledge production. Questions concerning democracy, religious pluralism, human rights, gender relations, environmental sustainability, economic justice, and digital ethics have encouraged Muslim scholars to reconsider established interpretive approaches. At the same time, the expansion of digital religious discourse has created new spaces for knowledge production in which established scholars interact with younger intellectuals, independent preachers, educational communities, and online audiences. These developments have broadened the boundaries of Islamic intellectual discourse and generated new forms of religious authority. Therefore, mapping Islamic thought in Indonesia requires attention not only to established schools and intellectual figures but also to the processes through which ideas intersect, transform, and acquire new meanings in response to contemporary social and technological changes. Theoretically, Kuhn's Theory of Scientific Paradigms provides a useful framework for understanding these developments. Kuhn argues that intellectual traditions evolve through paradigm shifts when existing explanatory frameworks become inadequate for addressing emerging realities. Similarly, Islamic thought continuously develops through reinterpretation and methodological renewal as Muslim scholars respond to new intellectual, technological, and societal challenges. From this perspective, the continuity and adaptability

of Islamic intellectual traditions can contribute to the development and transformation of Islamic thought by providing frameworks through which new challenges are interpreted and addressed. Accordingly, this study formulates the following hypothesis:

H2: The strength of Islamic intellectual traditions positively influences the development and transformation of Islamic thought in Indonesia.

2.3. Contemporary Dynamics of Islamic Thought and Religious Moderation

The contemporary landscape of Islamic thought is increasingly shaped by globalization, digitalization, democratization, and the growing complexity of multicultural societies. These developments have transformed the nature of religious authority by facilitating the rapid circulation of ideas through digital media, social networking platforms, and transnational intellectual exchanges. Consequently, Muslims are exposed to diverse religious perspectives ranging from conservative and literalist interpretations to progressive and contextual approaches to Islam (Hasan, 2013). Indonesia has responded to these developments by promoting religious moderation (*wasatiyyah*) as a strategic framework for managing theological diversity while maintaining national cohesion. Religious moderation emphasizes principles such as justice (*'adl*), balance (*tawāzun*), tolerance (*tasāmuḥ*), consultation (*shūrā*), and respect for diversity. Rather than eliminating differences, this approach seeks to encourage constructive dialogue among diverse Islamic intellectual traditions while preventing ideological extremism and religious polarization. Recent studies have demonstrated that moderate Islamic discourse has become increasingly important for strengthening social harmony within Indonesia's pluralistic society (Haitomi et al., 2022). The contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought also demonstrate that diversity should not be viewed solely as a source of conflict but also as an intellectual resource capable of fostering innovation, critical thinking, and inclusive religious scholarship. Interdisciplinary approaches, digital literacy, and cross-cultural engagement enable Islamic scholarship to respond more effectively to global issues such as human rights, environmental sustainability, gender equality, artificial intelligence, and ethical governance. Consequently, Islamic thought continues to evolve as a living intellectual tradition capable of addressing both religious and societal challenges.

An important feature of contemporary Islamic thought in Indonesia is the growing interaction among religious moderation, digital religious discourse, and the transformation of religious authority. The digital public sphere has enabled Islamic organizations, scholars, preachers, and younger religious actors to disseminate moderate interpretations through social media and other online platforms. Muhammadiyah and Nahdlatul Ulama, for example, have increasingly utilized digital platforms to communicate messages emphasizing tolerance, social harmony, and respect for diversity. At the same time, digital spaces have become arenas in which different interpretations of Islam compete for public attention and legitimacy. Religious moderation, therefore, should be understood not only as a theological orientation but also as an intellectual and communicative response to the changing conditions of contemporary Muslim society. In this context, the ability of Islamic scholarship to integrate normative religious principles with contextual reasoning has become increasingly important for maintaining constructive dialogue and social cohesion in a pluralistic and digitally connected Indonesia (Yusuf et al., 2024). This relationship can be further explained through Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Theory, which emphasizes that the fundamental objectives of Islamic law include the realization of public welfare (*maṣlaḥah*) and the prevention of harm (*mafsadah*). From this perspective, religious moderation can function as an operational framework for advancing the objectives of maqāṣid by encouraging tolerance, inclusiveness, justice, and peaceful coexistence among diverse Islamic intellectual traditions. Accordingly, the broader acceptance of religious moderation can strengthen the constructive contribution of Islamic thought to social cohesion and democratic development in Indonesia. Based on this theoretical framework, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H3: The contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought positively influence the strengthening of religious moderation in Indonesia.

III. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative approach using a systematic literature review design to examine the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia (Creswell & Poth, 2016). This approach is appropriate because the study focuses on conceptual and theoretical issues that require critical analysis and synthesis of scholarly literature rather than empirical field investigation. The review follows the PRISMA 2020 framework, which consists of four stages: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion. This framework is used to ensure a systematic, transparent, and replicable literature selection process (Page et al., 2021). The literature was collected from reputable academic databases and scholarly sources, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, Crossref, and Garuda. The search process used keywords such as Islamic thought in Indonesia, Islamic intellectual traditions, Islam Nusantara, Islamic reformism, and religious moderation. The inclusion criteria consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and book chapters published between 2000 and 2026, with particular emphasis on studies indexed in Scopus or published in journals accredited by SINTA 1 or SINTA 2. Sources were selected based on their relevance to the research objectives, scholarly credibility, and contribution to understanding the historical development, intellectual traditions, epistemological orientations, methodological characteristics, institutional contexts, and contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought in Indonesia. The selected literature was analyzed using qualitative thematic analysis, involving data reduction, coding, categorization, interpretation, comparison, and synthesis (Saldaña, 2021).

The analysis was conducted in several stages. First, relevant information was extracted from each selected source concerning the historical development, intellectual traditions, epistemological orientations, methodological characteristics, institutional contexts, and contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought in Indonesia. Second, recurring concepts, themes, and relationships were identified, coded, and grouped into thematic categories corresponding to the research objectives. Third, the identified themes were interpreted using Social Construction Theory, Kuhn's Paradigm Theory, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Theory as complementary analytical perspectives. Finally, the findings were compared and synthesized to identify patterns of continuity, transformation, interaction, and contextual adaptation across different strands of Islamic thought. The three theoretical perspectives serve complementary functions in the analysis. Social Construction Theory is used to explain how Islamic knowledge and religious interpretations are shaped through interactions between normative teachings and social, cultural, and historical contexts. Kuhn's Paradigm Theory is employed to examine intellectual transformation, shifts in interpretive frameworks, and the emergence of new approaches to Islamic thought in response to changing circumstances. Meanwhile, Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Theory is used to analyze the contemporary orientation of Islamic thought toward public welfare, inclusiveness, social cohesion, and religious moderation. The resulting synthesis provides the basis for constructing a comprehensive conceptual map of Islamic thought in Indonesia and identifying the theoretical relationships underlying the proposed research propositions. Because this study employs a library-based qualitative approach, H1, H2, and H3 are formulated as theoretically derived propositions rather than statistically tested relationships. The findings are therefore presented descriptively and interpretively to explain the historical development, diversity, transformation, and contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought in Indonesia.

IV. Result and Discussion

4.1. Historical Development of Islamic Thought in Indonesia

The historical development of Islamic thought in Indonesia is closely intertwined with the processes of Islamization, transnational scholarly networks, colonial encounters, and socio-political transformations.

Rather than evolving as a linear or homogeneous tradition, Islamic thought has undergone continuous transformation through interactions among religious doctrines, local cultures, political institutions, and global intellectual currents. The literature indicates that each historical period has contributed distinct epistemological characteristics, resulting in the pluralistic landscape of Islamic thought observed in contemporary Indonesia (Feener, 2004). The process of Islamization in the Indonesian archipelago, which developed between the thirteenth and sixteenth centuries, laid the foundation for the emergence of diverse Islamic intellectual traditions. Unlike the expansion of Islam in several other regions through military conquest, Islam spread in Indonesia primarily through peaceful means, including trade, Sufism, education, intermarriage, and cultural accommodation. Muslim merchants and scholars from Arabia, Persia, India, and later Hadhramaut established commercial and religious networks that facilitated the dissemination of Islamic teachings across the coastal regions of Sumatra, Java, Sulawesi, Kalimantan, and the eastern Indonesian islands. During this period, Sufi scholars adopted accommodative approaches toward indigenous customs, enabling Islamic teachings to become integrated into existing cultural practices without generating widespread social conflict. Consequently, Indonesian Islam developed as an adaptive religious tradition characterized by moderation, inclusiveness, and cultural flexibility (Simamora et al., 2026).

A decisive factor in shaping Islamic thought was the emergence of transnational networks of Muslim scholars (ulama networks) connecting the Indonesian archipelago with major centers of Islamic learning in Mecca, Medina, Cairo, Hadhramaut, and Istanbul. From the seventeenth century onward, Indonesian students who pursued religious education in the Middle East returned to establish pesantren, madrasas, and other Islamic educational institutions throughout the archipelago. These scholars transmitted classical Islamic scholarship while simultaneously adapting it to local socio-cultural realities. As demonstrated by Azra, these intellectual networks not only facilitated the circulation of Islamic knowledge but also contributed to the development of a distinctive Indonesian Islamic tradition that combined textual orthodoxy with cultural accommodation and social pragmatism. This period also witnessed the institutionalization of classical Sunni scholarship through the study of *fiqh*, *tafsir*, *ḥadith*, *kalām*, and *taṣawwuf*, which continue to influence Islamic education in Indonesia. The colonial period introduced new intellectual challenges that significantly transformed Islamic thought. Dutch colonial policies, Western educational systems, and Christian missionary activities stimulated critical responses among Muslim scholars. Islamic reform movements emerged as efforts to strengthen Muslim identity while responding to colonial domination and modernization. Organizations such as Muhammadiyah (1912), Sarekat Islam (1912), Persatuan Islam (1923), and Nahdlatul Ulama (1926) represented different intellectual responses to these challenges. Muhammadiyah promoted educational reform, rationalism, and the purification of Islamic teachings through direct engagement with the Qur'an and Sunnah, whereas Nahdlatul Ulama emphasized the preservation of classical Sunni scholarship and local religious traditions. Although these organizations differed in their methodologies and religious orientations, both contributed significantly to the development of Indonesian Islamic intellectualism by expanding educational institutions, strengthening civil society, and encouraging scholarly discourse. The colonial era therefore became a critical turning point in the diversification of Islamic thought through interactions among Islamic reformism, traditional scholarship, nationalism, and Western modernity (Sumardi & Harbi, 2025).

Following Indonesian independence, particularly during the Reform Era after 1998, Islamic thought entered a new phase characterized by democratization, globalization, and rapid technological advancement. Political liberalization expanded academic freedom and encouraged broader public participation in religious discourse. Universities became important centers for interdisciplinary Islamic studies, integrating Islamic scholarship with sociology, anthropology, political science, economics, gender studies, and environmental studies. At the same time, digital communication technologies transformed the production and dissemination of Islamic knowledge by enabling scholars, religious leaders, and online communities to engage directly with wider audiences. This environment fostered the emergence of new intellectual discourses, including religious moderation (*wasatiyyah*), Islamic democracy, human rights, environmental ethics, digital religion, and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*-oriented approaches. Consequently, contemporary Indonesian Islamic thought has become increasingly diverse, interdisciplinary, and globally connected while maintaining strong roots in its

classical scholarly heritage. The historical trajectory described above demonstrates that the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia is the cumulative result of continuous interactions among religious teachings, cultural adaptation, educational institutions, political transformations, and global intellectual exchanges. These findings support H1, which proposes that historical, cultural, and socio-political interactions significantly contribute to the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia. This conclusion is consistent with Berger and Luckmann's Social Construction Theory, which posits that knowledge is socially constructed through ongoing interactions among individuals, institutions, and historical contexts. In the Indonesian context, Islamic thought has continuously evolved through processes of reinterpretation and contextualization, producing a dynamic intellectual tradition capable of responding to changing societal needs while preserving the fundamental principles of Islam.

4.2. Typology and Diversity of Islamic Thought in Indonesia

The literature review indicates that Islamic thought in Indonesia has developed into several major intellectual traditions, each characterized by distinct epistemological foundations, interpretive methodologies, and socio-religious orientations. Rather than representing mutually exclusive ideological camps, these traditions can be understood as dynamic responses to different historical contexts and societal challenges. Their coexistence reflects the richness of Indonesia's Islamic intellectual heritage and demonstrates the adaptability of Islamic scholarship in negotiating the relationship between religious texts and changing social realities. Traditionalism represents one of the oldest and most influential streams of Islamic thought in Indonesia. Rooted primarily in the *pesantren* tradition and institutionally represented by Nahdlatul Ulama (NU), traditionalism emphasizes adherence to classical Sunni scholarship (*turāth*), the authority of the four Sunni schools of jurisprudence (*madhāhib*), and the preservation of local religious traditions. Traditionalist scholars generally advocate contextual interpretations grounded in classical jurisprudence while accommodating indigenous cultural practices that do not contradict Islamic principles. This intellectual orientation has contributed significantly to the continuity of Islamic learning and the preservation of Indonesia's distinctive Islamic cultural heritage (Bruinessen, 1995). In contrast, Modernism emerged during the early twentieth century in response to colonialism, educational backwardness, and the perceived stagnation of Muslim societies. Inspired by reformist thinkers such as Muhammad Abduh and Rashid Rida, Indonesian modernists, particularly Muhammadiyah and Persatuan Islam (Persis), promoted educational reform, rational inquiry, and direct engagement with the Qur'an and Sunnah while criticizing excessive reliance on *taqlid* and syncretic religious practices. Modernist thought emphasizes the compatibility of Islam with science, modernization, and social progress, making education and social welfare central instruments of Islamic renewal (Noer, 1973).

The subsequent development of Neo-modernism represented an intellectual synthesis between traditional Islamic scholarship and modern philosophical thought. Prominent Indonesian scholars such as Nurcholish Madjid, Abdurrahman Wahid, and Amin Abdullah argued that Islamic teachings should be interpreted contextually while remaining faithful to their normative foundations. Neo-modernism promotes pluralism, democracy, civil society, and interreligious dialogue by integrating classical Islamic scholarship with contemporary humanities and social sciences. This approach has significantly influenced Islamic higher education and the discourse of inclusive Islam in Indonesia (Madjid, 2019). Another influential current is Islamism, which views Islam not only as a religion but also as a comprehensive political and social system. Islamist thought generally advocates a greater role for Islamic law in public governance and emphasizes the establishment of social order based on Islamic principles. Although Islamist movements in Indonesia vary considerably in their objectives and strategies, they share the conviction that religion should play a central role in political life. It is important to note, however, that Indonesian Islamism is highly diverse, encompassing constitutional political participation, social activism, and non-violent religious advocacy alongside more conservative ideological orientations (Hasan, 2006). Alongside these developments, Liberal Islam emerged as a contemporary intellectual movement emphasizing contextual interpretation, critical hermeneutics,

religious pluralism, gender equality, democracy, and human rights. Influenced by contemporary Islamic philosophy and modern hermeneutical approaches, liberal Muslim scholars argue that Islamic teachings should be interpreted in light of the objectives of the Shari'ah (maqāṣid al-sharī'ah) and the evolving needs of society.

Rather than rejecting Islamic tradition, Liberal Islam seeks to revitalize Islamic jurisprudence through critical reasoning (ijtihād) while encouraging dialogue between Islamic values and universal ethical principles (Barton, 1999). More recently, the concept of Wasatiyyah (Religious Moderation) has emerged as one of the dominant paradigms of contemporary Islamic thought in Indonesia. Wasatiyyah emphasizes moderation, justice, balance, tolerance, and peaceful coexistence while rejecting both religious extremism and excessive liberalism. Supported by major Islamic organizations, Islamic universities, and the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs, this paradigm seeks to strengthen social harmony within Indonesia's multicultural society. Rather than functioning as an independent ideological school, Wasatiyyah serves as an integrative framework capable of bridging diverse Islamic intellectual traditions while maintaining fidelity to the fundamental objectives of Islamic teachings. Overall, these six intellectual traditions demonstrate that the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia is neither inherently fragmented nor contradictory. Instead, they constitute a dynamic intellectual ecosystem shaped by historical experiences, educational institutions, socio-political developments, and global intellectual exchanges. The coexistence of traditionalism, modernism, neo-modernism, Islamism, Liberal Islam, and Wasatiyyah illustrates the plurality of Islamic epistemologies that collectively enrich Indonesia's Islamic intellectual heritage. These findings support Hypothesis 2 (H2), indicating that the development of Islamic intellectual traditions contributes significantly to the transformation and diversification of Islamic thought in Indonesia. This conclusion is consistent with Thomas S. Kuhn's Paradigm Theory, which explains intellectual development as a process involving continuous interaction, critical evaluation, and paradigm shifts in response to changing historical and societal circumstances.

4.3. Contemporary Dynamics of Islamic Thought and the Strengthening of Religious Moderation

The findings demonstrate that the contemporary development of Islamic thought in Indonesia is increasingly influenced by globalization, digital transformation, democratization, and the expansion of transnational Islamic networks. These developments have fundamentally reshaped the production, dissemination, and reception of Islamic knowledge. Religious authority, which was once concentrated in traditional institutions such as pesantren, mosques, and Islamic universities, has become increasingly decentralized through digital media platforms, online learning environments, and social networking services. Consequently, Indonesian Muslims now have unprecedented access to diverse interpretations of Islam originating from both domestic and international scholars. This transformation has accelerated intellectual exchange while simultaneously intensifying ideological contestation among different schools of Islamic thought (Hasan, 2013). The rapid expansion of digital communication has created both opportunities and challenges for the development of Islamic intellectual discourse. On the one hand, digital platforms facilitate broader access to classical Islamic scholarship, contemporary academic research, and interdisciplinary discussions that encourage critical thinking and intellectual innovation. Young Muslim scholars increasingly utilize digital technologies to reinterpret Islamic teachings in relation to emerging issues such as artificial intelligence, environmental sustainability, gender justice, Islamic finance, and bioethics. On the other hand, the unrestricted circulation of religious narratives has also facilitated the spread of textual literalism, misinformation, religious populism, and ideological polarization. This situation highlights the urgent need to strengthen digital religious literacy and promote critical engagement with Islamic knowledge (Abdullah, 2014). Another important finding concerns the growing institutionalization of religious moderation (wasatiyyah) as a dominant paradigm in contemporary Islamic discourse in Indonesia.

Rather than seeking to eliminate theological differences, religious moderation promotes balance (tawāzun), justice ('adl), tolerance (tasāmuḥ), dialogue (shūrā), and respect for diversity as essential principles

for maintaining national unity within a pluralistic society. Major Islamic organizations, including Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah, together with Islamic higher education institutions and the Ministry of Religious Affairs, have actively promoted moderation as an intellectual and social framework for addressing challenges related to religious extremism, radicalism, and identity politics. As a result, *wasatiyyah* has evolved beyond a theological concept into a practical strategy for strengthening social cohesion and democratic citizenship in Indonesia (Shihab, 2019). An additional dimension of these contemporary dynamics is the emergence of religious moderation as a contested intellectual and social process rather than merely a policy-oriented discourse. In the digital public sphere, moderate Islamic narratives must compete with diverse forms of religious expression, including exclusivist, populist, and highly literal interpretations. Consequently, the effectiveness of religious moderation depends not only on the dissemination of normative principles but also on the ability of scholars and Islamic institutions to develop credible, contextual, and intellectually persuasive religious narratives. Research on digital religious spaces in Indonesia indicates that promoting moderation requires strategic engagement with digital audiences, appropriate communication practices, and the active participation of credible religious actors. In this context, religious moderation becomes part of a broader process of negotiating religious authority, knowledge, and identity in contemporary Indonesian society. The strengthening of moderation therefore depends on the capacity of Islamic intellectual traditions to adapt to new communication environments while maintaining the principles of tolerance, justice, national commitment, and respect for religious diversity (Tofaynudin et al., 2025).

The literature further indicates that contemporary Islamic thought has become increasingly interdisciplinary. Scholars no longer approach Islamic studies solely through classical disciplines such as *fiqh*, *tafsīr*, or *kalām*, but increasingly integrate perspectives from sociology, anthropology, political science, economics, psychology, environmental studies, and digital humanities (Azra & Hasan, 2002). This interdisciplinary orientation reflects the growing recognition that contemporary social problems require comprehensive approaches capable of connecting normative Islamic values with empirical realities. The integration of different disciplines enables Islamic scholars to examine religious questions not only from the perspective of textual interpretation but also through the social, cultural, political, economic, and environmental contexts in which religious teachings are understood and practiced. For example, questions of environmental sustainability require dialogue between Islamic ethical principles and ecological science, while issues of Islamic economics require interaction between *fiqh al-muʿāmalāt*, economic theory, and contemporary financial practices. Similarly, the emergence of digital religion requires Islamic scholarship to engage with communication studies, digital sociology, and questions of technology and ethics. Such interdisciplinary engagement also creates opportunities for dialogue among different intellectual traditions and reduces the tendency to treat Islamic thought as a closed or homogeneous system. In the Indonesian context, this approach is particularly significant because the country's Islamic intellectual landscape is characterized by diverse traditions, institutions, cultural backgrounds, and social experiences. Consequently, interdisciplinary Islamic scholarship has strengthened the capacity of Muslim intellectuals to reinterpret Islamic principles in response to contemporary challenges while maintaining their normative and ethical foundations. It also demonstrates that the development of Islamic thought increasingly depends on the ability to establish constructive relationships among revelation, reason, empirical knowledge, and lived social realities, thereby enhancing the relevance of Islamic scholarship in addressing complex national and global challenges (Kholili et al., 2024).

From a theoretical perspective, these findings are consistent with the *Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah* framework, which emphasizes that the ultimate objectives of Islamic law are the realization of public welfare (*maṣlaḥah*) and the prevention of harm (*mafsadah*). Contemporary Islamic thought in Indonesia increasingly applies the *maqāṣid* approach to interpreting religious teachings in ways that promote social justice, human dignity, religious harmony, environmental sustainability, and democratic participation (Shabir et al., 2026). This demonstrates that the diversity of Islamic thought is not merely an intellectual phenomenon but also an important instrument for promoting broader societal well-being. From this perspective, differences in interpretation can be understood as part of an intellectual effort to identify appropriate responses to changing

social conditions while maintaining the fundamental ethical objectives of Islam. The maqāṣid framework therefore provides a normative basis for evaluating diverse Islamic perspectives, particularly by examining whether particular interpretations contribute to justice, human dignity, social stability, and the protection of human and environmental interests. In the Indonesian context, this approach is particularly relevant because Islamic communities encounter complex realities involving religious plurality, cultural diversity, democratic institutions, economic inequality, environmental degradation, technological transformation, and changing patterns of social interaction. Consequently, Islamic thought cannot remain detached from these realities. Rather, its continued relevance depends on the capacity of scholars and religious institutions to connect scriptural principles with contemporary circumstances through contextual interpretation and responsible reasoning.

The maqāṣid perspective also helps explain why religious moderation has become an important dimension of contemporary Islamic discourse. Moderation does not necessarily imply reducing the normative character of Islam; instead, it can function as a methodological and ethical orientation for balancing textual fidelity with contextual considerations, individual religious commitments with social coexistence, and rights with responsibilities. In this sense, the diversity of Islamic thought can generate constructive intellectual resources for negotiating differences without necessarily producing social fragmentation. Furthermore, Berger and Luckmann's Social Construction Theory explains that the emergence of new forms of religious authority and digital religious communities represents an ongoing process of reconstructing Islamic knowledge in response to changing historical and technological contexts. Religious knowledge is not simply transmitted unchanged from one generation to another; rather, it is continuously interpreted, institutionalized, legitimized, and reproduced through social interaction. The expansion of digital platforms has accelerated this process by creating new spaces in which scholars, preachers, students, activists, and ordinary Muslims participate in the production and circulation of religious meanings. As a result, traditional forms of authority increasingly interact with emerging digital authorities, producing new patterns of religious engagement and knowledge validation. This transformation does not necessarily eliminate established religious institutions but instead creates a more complex relationship between traditional scholarship and digitally mediated religious discourse. In this process, audiences also become active participants because they can select, interpret, share, comment on, and reproduce religious content. Such developments illustrate how Islamic thought is socially constructed through continuous interaction between inherited intellectual traditions and contemporary social realities.

The combination of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah and Social Construction Theory therefore provides a complementary analytical perspective. The former explains the normative objectives that should guide the development of Islamic thought, while the latter explains the social processes through which religious knowledge, authority, and interpretation are constructed and transformed. Together, these perspectives demonstrate that the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia is simultaneously normative, intellectual, social, and historical. It emerges from the interaction among enduring Islamic principles, scholarly traditions, institutional structures, social experiences, and technological developments. This theoretical interpretation reinforces the argument that mapping Islamic thought requires attention not only to theological and jurisprudential differences but also to the social processes through which those differences are produced, negotiated, legitimized, and transformed. Ultimately, the dynamic relationship between maqāṣid-oriented ethical objectives and socially constructed religious knowledge helps explain the continuing adaptability of Indonesian Islamic thought while preserving its broader commitment to human welfare, social harmony, and ethical responsibility. Overall, the findings suggest that the contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought have significantly contributed to strengthening religious moderation in Indonesia. The interaction among classical Islamic scholarship, modern intellectual traditions, digital innovation, and interdisciplinary approaches has created a more adaptive and inclusive Islamic intellectual landscape. Rather than producing fragmentation, this diversity has become an important source of intellectual resilience, enabling Indonesian Islam to respond constructively to contemporary social, political, and technological challenges. Accordingly, these findings support Hypothesis 3 (H3), which proposes that the contemporary dynamics of Islamic thought positively

influence the strengthening of religious moderation in Indonesia. The increasing acceptance of wasatiyyah demonstrates that intellectual diversity, when guided by the objectives of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah, can contribute to social harmony, democratic development, and sustainable peace within Indonesia's multicultural society.

V. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia is the product of a long historical process shaped by Islamization, transnational scholarly networks, colonial encounters, educational transformation, and contemporary socio-political developments. Rather than representing ideological fragmentation, the plurality of Islamic thought reflects the dynamic character of Islamic intellectual traditions in responding to changing historical and societal contexts. The study identifies six major intellectual traditions, namely Traditionalism, Modernism, Neo-modernism, Islamism, Liberal Islam, and Wasatiyyah, each characterized by distinct epistemological foundations, interpretive methodologies, and contributions to the development of Indonesian Islam. Their coexistence illustrates that Islamic thought in Indonesia has evolved through continuous dialogue among classical Islamic scholarship, local cultural values, and global intellectual influences. Furthermore, the findings reveal that contemporary Islamic thought is increasingly characterized by interdisciplinarity, digital transformation, and the strengthening of religious moderation. The integration of Islamic sciences with the humanities and social sciences has expanded the relevance of Islamic scholarship in addressing contemporary issues such as democracy, social justice, environmental sustainability, and digital ethics. The study also demonstrates that the paradigm of Wasatiyyah functions as an integrative framework capable of bridging diverse intellectual traditions while promoting social cohesion within Indonesia's multicultural society. Overall, the findings support the proposed hypotheses (H1–H3), indicating that historical interactions, intellectual traditions, and contemporary dynamics collectively contribute to the diversity and continued development of Islamic thought in Indonesia (Nurholis, 2025).

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the literature on Islamic studies by proposing a comprehensive conceptual framework for understanding the diversity of Islamic thought in Indonesia as a historically constructed, intellectually dynamic, and socially adaptive phenomenon. By integrating Social Construction Theory, Kuhn's Paradigm Theory, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Theory, the study demonstrates that Islamic thought develops through the interaction of historical experiences, epistemological transformations, and societal changes rather than through isolated theological interpretations. The proposed typology, comprising Traditionalism, Modernism, Neo-modernism, Islamism, Liberal Islam, and Wasatiyyah, provides a systematic analytical framework that enriches contemporary scholarship on Indonesian Islam and offers a foundation for future comparative studies of Islamic intellectual traditions in Southeast Asia and the broader Muslim world. From a practical perspective, the findings offer insights for policymakers, Islamic educational institutions, religious organizations, and scholars in promoting a more inclusive understanding of Islamic intellectual diversity. Strengthening interdisciplinary Islamic education, enhancing digital religious literacy, and institutionalizing the values of Wasatiyyah can help prevent ideological polarization while fostering constructive dialogue among different schools of Islamic thought. For universities and Islamic higher education institutions, the study provides a conceptual basis for developing curricula that integrate classical Islamic scholarship with contemporary scientific and social perspectives. More broadly, the findings support national efforts to strengthen religious moderation, social harmony, and democratic citizenship, thereby contributing to the sustainable development of Indonesia's pluralistic society.

References

- Abdullah, M. A. (2014). Religion, science, and culture: An integrated, interconnected paradigm of science. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 52(1), 175–203.
- Ahmad, S. I. R., & Afnijar, J. (2026). Dinamika pemikiran islam kontemporer dalam konteks kehidupan masyarakat indonesia. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, 11(02), 212–222.

- Ardianto, F., Mukhlis, M., Zulhandra, Z., & Lahmi, A. (2025). Traditionalism and Modernism in Indonesian Islam: Dynamics of Muslim Identity Construction. *Ahlussunnah: Journal of Islamic Education*, 4(3), 623–629.
- Azra, A. (2004a). *The Origins of Islamic Reformism in Southeast Asia: Networks of Malay-Indonesian and Middle Eastern 'Ulam' in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries*. University of Hawaii Press.
- Azra, A. (2004b). *The origins of Islamic reformism in Southeast Asia: Networks of Malay-Indonesian and Middle Eastern 'ulama' in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries*. Asian Studies Association of Australia.
- Azra, A., & Hasan, I. R. (2002). *Islam Nusantara: Jaringan global dan lokal*. (No Title).
- Barton, G. (1999). *Gagasan Islam Liberal di Indonesia: pemikiran neo-modernisme Nurcholis Madjid, Djohan Effendi, Ahmad Wahib dan Abdurrahman Wahid 1968-1980*.
- Berger, P., & Luckmann, T. (2016). The social construction of reality. In *Social theory re-wired* (pp. 110–122). Routledge.
- Bruinessen, M. van. (1995). *Kitab kuning, pesantren, dan tarekat: Tradisi-tradisi Islam di Indonesia*. (No Title).
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. SAGE Publications.
- Feener, R. M. (2004). *Islam in world cultures: Comparative perspectives*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- Haitomi, F., Sari, M., & Isamuddin, N. F. A. B. N. (2022). Moderasi Beragama dalam Perspektif Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia: Konsep dan Implementasi. *Al-Wasatiyah: Journal of Religious Moderation*, 1(1), 66–83.
- Halim, A., Suharto, B., & Safe'i, I. (2026). Digitalisasi pesantren dan perubahan otoritas keilmuan. *CENDEKIA: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 12(1), 45–55.
- Hasan, N. (2006). *Laskar Jihad: Islam, militancy, and the quest for identity in post-New Order Indonesia* (Issue 40). SEAP Publications.
- Hasan, N. (2013). *The making of public Islam piety, Democracy and youth in Indonesian politics*. sukapress.
- Ikhsanudin, M., Syamsuddin, S., & Sunarwoto, S. (2022). The Typology of Pesantren's Islamic Thoughts in Java: A Qualitative Study. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 11(2), 107–119.
- Kholili, M., Izudin, A., & Hakim, M. L. (2024). Islamic proselytizing in digital religion in Indonesia: the challenges of broadcasting regulation. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1), 2357460.
- Madjid, N. (2019). *Islam: Doktrin & Peradaban*. Gramedia pustaka utama.
- Maulana, A. M. R., Farhah, F., Rachmawati, F., & Mulya, A. S. (2026). From Digital Religion to Digital Islam: Finding God in the Society 5.0 through Maqāsid Shari'ah. *Al-Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah Dan Hukum*, 11(1), 3–26.
- Nasution, H. (1985). *Islam Ditinjau dari Berbagai Aspeknya* Jilid 1.
- Nata, A. (2001). *Peta keragaman pemikiran Islam di Indonesia*. Divisi Buku Perguruan Tinggi, RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Noer, D. (1973). *The modernist Muslim movement in Indonesia, 1900-1942*. (No Title).
- Nurholis, M. (2025). Islamic law and environmental sustainability: Maqasid al-Sharia's perspective. *Jurnal Mediasas: Media Ilmu Syari'ah Dan Ahwal Al-Syakhsiyyah*, 8(3), 541–548.
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., & Brennan, S. E. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Bmj*, 372.
- Saldaña, J. (2021). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*.
- Shabir, M., Rosyid, M., Dahri, H., & Tasfiq, M. S. (2026). Religious Moderation as Living Islamic Law: A Maqāsid Perspective in Indonesia and Brunei Darussalam. *Al-Ahkam*, 36(1), 21–46.
- Shihab, M. Q. (2019). *Wasathiyyah Wawasan Islam tentang Moderasi Beragama*. Lentera Hati Group.
- Simamora, A. S., Sianturi, E., Lafau, E. W. S., Sembiring, I. S., & Lukitoyo, P. S. (2026). PROSES ISLAMISASI DI NUSANTARA PADA ABAD KE-13 HINGGA KE-16: PERAN JALUR PERDAGANGAN, ULAMA, DAN INTERAKSI BUDAYA DALAM PENYEBARAN ISLAM. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, 11(01), 194–201.

- Sumardi, E., & Harbi, H. A. (2025). Islamisasi Di Nusantara: Jalur Perdagangan Sebagai Sarana Penyebaran Islam Abad Ke-13–16. *Tashdiq: Jurnal Kajian Agama Dan Dakwah*, 16(2), 91–100.
- Syafaq, H., Hilmy, M., & Fageh, A. (2026). Digital Islamic Studies in Indonesia: Ontological, Epistemological and Axiological Perspectives. *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah Dan Pemikiran Islam*, 28(1), 157–204.
- Tofaynudin, J. I., Anwari, M. S., Dahlan, M., & Futaqi, S. (2025). Religious Moderation as a Paradigm of Moderate Islamic Thought in the Era of Digital Disruption. *Potret Pemikiran*, 29(2), 248–267.
- Yusuf, M. Z., Nahar, M. H., Abror, H. M., & Renaldi, M. H. (2024). Digital strategies for promoting religious moderation: The role of Muhammadiyah and Nahdlatul Ulama on social media. *International Journal of Nusantara Islam*, 12(2), 116–130.