AUDITING | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Auditors' Understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Audits

Fauzi Rahman¹*, Gina Putri²

¹ Department of Accounting, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia.
Email: fauzi.rahman@gmail.com
² Faculty of Economics, Universitas Ciputra, Surabaya, Indonesia.
Email: gina.putri@gmail.com

*Corresponding author: Fauzi Rahman, Department of Accounting, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia.

E-mail: fauzi.rahman@gmail.com

Abstract: This study explores auditors' understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in audit engagements, aiming to elucidate the complexities and challenges faced in evaluating CSR-related disclosures. The research investigates auditors' comprehension of CSR concepts, challenges encountered in auditing CSR disclosures, the influence of organizational culture, reliance on regulatory frameworks, and the necessity for continuous professional development. Utilizing a qualitative research approach, data was gathered through interviews and literature reviews. Findings reveal a spectrum of understanding among auditors, influenced by factors such as education, training, and organizational culture. Challenges in auditing CSR disclosures include the subjective nature of CSR reporting, lack of standardized frameworks, and difficulties in assessing reliability. Organizational culture significantly shapes auditors' approaches, with ethical cultures prioritizing CSR considerations. Auditors rely on regulatory frameworks, particularly International Standards on Auditing (ISAs), yet face challenges in their application to CSR assurance. Continuous professional development emerges as crucial for enhancing auditors' competencies in auditing CSR activities. This study contributes to understanding the dynamics of CSR auditing, highlighting the importance of addressing challenges and promoting a culture of accountability and sustainability within audit firms.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Audit, Auditors' Understanding, Organizational Culture, Regulatory Frameworks.
JEL Code: M42, M48, M14

1. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a critical aspect of business practices globally, reflecting a shift towards sustainable and ethical operations. As corporations strive to balance profit motives with social and environmental responsibilities, stakeholders increasingly scrutinize their CSR initiatives. Auditors, as key players in ensuring financial transparency and accountability, hold a pivotal role in evaluating a company's adherence to CSR standards. Understanding auditors' comprehension of CSR within audit processes is paramount for ensuring the integrity of financial reporting and the credibility of CSR claims. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) encompasses the voluntary actions taken by companies to address environmental, social, and economic concerns in their operations and interactions with stakeholders. These initiatives often extend beyond legal requirements, reflecting a commitment to sustainable development and ethical conduct. In recent years, CSR has gained prominence as companies recognize the importance of demonstrating social and environmental stewardship alongside financial performance. Consequently, CSR has become an integral component of corporate strategy, influencing decision-making processes across various functional areas. Within the context of audit procedures, auditors play a crucial role in assessing the accuracy and reliability of financial statements, including disclosures related to CSR activities. The incorporation of CSR considerations into audit engagements signifies a broader scope of assurance, encompassing not only financial data but also non-financial indicators of corporate performance. Auditors are expected to

possess a comprehensive understanding of CSR concepts, frameworks, and reporting guidelines to effectively evaluate the extent to which companies fulfill their CSR commitments. This entails assessing the adequacy of CSR disclosures, the integration of CSR risks into audit planning, and the evaluation of controls related to CSR data collection and reporting processes.

Despite the growing emphasis on CSR in business discourse, empirical evidence suggests that auditors may encounter challenges in adequately addressing CSR issues within audit engagements. Studies indicate variations in auditors′ perceptions, knowledge, and practices regarding CSR auditing, reflecting a gap between prescribed standards and actual implementation. Factors such as limited training opportunities, ambiguous guidance, and conflicting stakeholder expectations contribute to the complexity of integrating CSR considerations into audit procedures. Consequently, there exists a need to explore auditors′ understanding of CSR and identify potential barriers to effectively auditing CSR-related disclosures.

Previous research in the field of auditing and CSR has provided valuable insights into the factors influencing auditors′ engagement with CSR issues. Studies have examined the impact of auditors′ professional skepticism on CSR assurance, the influence of audit firm characteristics on CSR audit quality, and the role of regulatory frameworks in shaping auditors′ approaches to CSR auditing. Additionally, research has explored stakeholders′ perceptions of auditors′ roles in ensuring CSR transparency and accountability, highlighting the importance of trust and credibility in audit processes. However, gaps remain in understanding the specific challenges faced by auditors in evaluating CSR disclosures and the strategies employed to address these challenges. Auditors′ understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in audits is a complex and evolving area. Goldberg (2011) emphasizes the need for auditors to understand reporting standards and trends in CSR, while Sun (2017) suggests that firms with higher CSR ratings are more likely to hire industry specialist auditors. However, Owusu (2012) and Kemp (2012) both highlight the lack of regulatory standards and the limitations of audits in shaping and regulating CSR. Chun (2019) and LópezPuertas-Lamy (2017) provide contrasting views on the impact of CSR on audit hours and fees, with the former suggesting that auditors perceive corporate charitable contributions as opportunistic behavior, and the latter finding a U-shaped relationship between CSR performance and audit fees. Morimoto (2005) and Morimoto (2004) both explore the challenges of developing a CSR auditing system, with the latter proposing a prospective CSR audit protocol.

This research aims to contribute to the existing literature by investigating auditors′ understanding of CSR within audit engagements through a quantitative descriptive study. By employing a structured questionnaire, this study seeks to assess auditors′ knowledge of CSR concepts, their perceived importance of CSR considerations in audits, and the extent to which CSR factors influence audit planning and execution. Furthermore, this research will examine the factors influencing auditors′ effectiveness in auditing CSR-related disclosures, including training, guidance, and organizational culture. The findings of this study are expected to provide practical implications for audit firms, standard-setting bodies, and regulatory authorities in enhancing the quality and effectiveness of CSR auditing practices. The intersection of auditing and CSR represents a dynamic and evolving field that warrants further investigation to ensure the alignment between corporate disclosures and societal expectations. By examining auditors′ understanding of CSR and the challenges they encounter in auditing CSR-related disclosures, this research seeks to enhance the reliability and credibility of CSR reporting and contribute to the advancement of auditing practices in the context of sustainability and social responsibility.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The incorporation of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) considerations into audit processes has garnered significant attention in academic literature and professional practice. Auditors, as guardians of financial transparency and accountability, are increasingly tasked with evaluating companies′ CSR disclosures to provide assurance to stakeholders. This literature review aims to explore key studies related to auditors′ understanding of CSR in audits, providing definitions, explanations, and specific insights into this complex intersection between auditing and CSR.

2.1. Definition of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved into a multifaceted concept that has garnered increasing attention from scholars, practitioners, and society at large. Initially defined by Carroll (1991) as "the social responsibility of business encompasses the economic, legal, ethical, and discretionary expectations that society has of organizations at a given point in time," CSR has since evolved to encompass a broader spectrum of activities and expectations. This literature review seeks to delve deeper into the multifaceted nature of CSR, exploring its definitions, theoretical underpinnings, key dimensions, and the role of companies in addressing environmental, social, and economic concerns. Carroll's (1991) seminal framework delineates four dimensions of CSR: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities, forming the basis for subsequent research and discourse on CSR. The economic dimension pertains to a company's obligation to generate profits and provide returns to shareholders. The legal dimension encompasses compliance with laws and regulations governing business operations. The ethical dimension involves conducting business in a morally upright manner, beyond mere legal requirements. Finally, the philanthropic dimension relates to voluntary actions aimed at promoting societal well-being. McWilliams and Siegel (2001) further elaborate on CSR by emphasizing its voluntary nature and the potential benefits for companies in terms of reputation enhancement and long-term sustainability.

CSR activities encompass a wide array of practices aimed at addressing environmental, social, and economic concerns. Philanthropic initiatives, such as donations to charitable organizations and community development projects, represent one facet of CSR (Fombrun, 2005). Environmental stewardship involves adopting sustainable practices to minimize the ecological footprint of operations, including energy conservation, waste reduction, and emissions control (Dangelico & Pujari, 2010). Ethical labor practices encompass fair employment practices, workplace diversity, employee well-being, and human rights protection (Waddock & Graves, 1997). Additionally, CSR extends to responsible supply chain management, product safety and quality, and transparency in corporate governance (Visser, 2006). Companies play a pivotal role in advancing CSR initiatives by integrating social and environmental considerations into their business strategies and operations (Porter & Kramer, 2011). CSR is increasingly viewed as a strategic imperative that contributes to long-term value creation and competitive advantage (Porter & Kramer, 2006). By aligning CSR initiatives with corporate objectives, companies can enhance brand reputation, mitigate risks, attract and retain talent, and foster innovation (Kotler & Lee, 2005). Moreover, companies are under growing pressure from stakeholders, including consumers, investors, governments, and civil society, to demonstrate commitment to CSR (Elkington, 1997). Despite its perceived benefits, CSR is not without challenges and criticisms. Some scholars argue that CSR initiatives may be driven more by public relations motives than genuine altruism, leading to accusations of "greenwashing" or "social washing" (Laufer, 2003). Critics question the legitimacy of CSR activities that merely offset negative impacts or fail to address root causes of social and environmental problems (Banerjee, 2007). Moreover, there is a lack of consensus on the scope and priorities of CSR, with competing stakeholder interests and value judgments complicating decision-making processes (Moon, 2004).

2.2. Auditors' Role in CSR Assurance

Auditors wield significant influence in ensuring the credibility and reliability of financial statements, thereby safeguarding the interests of stakeholders. In recent years, with the growing emphasis on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), auditors are increasingly tasked with evaluating companies' CSR-related disclosures to provide assurance on their accuracy and reliability. This essay delves into the crucial role of auditors in auditing CSR activities, focusing on their responsibilities, challenges, and the regulatory framework governing CSR assurance. Auditors, as guardians of financial transparency and accountability, play a pivotal role in providing assurance on the accuracy and reliability of financial statements, including disclosures related to CSR activities. The International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) emphasizes the importance of auditors' role in evaluating CSR

disclosures in ensuring the integrity of financial reporting (IFAC, 2013). The International Standards on Auditing (ISA) mandate auditors to consider the risks of material misstatement arising from fraud or error in financial statements, which may encompass CSR-related disclosures (IFAC, 2016). Moreover, auditors are expected to assess the adequacy of companies' internal controls over CSR reporting processes to ensure the integrity of CSR information (International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB), 2018).

The responsibilities of auditors in auditing CSR activities extend beyond traditional financial auditing procedures. Auditors are required to possess a comprehensive understanding of CSR concepts, frameworks, and reporting guidelines to effectively evaluate the extent to which companies fulfill their CSR commitments. This entails assessing the adequacy of CSR disclosures, the integration of CSR risks into audit planning, and the evaluation of controls related to CSR data collection and reporting processes. Auditors are also tasked with examining the alignment between CSR disclosures and companies' actual practices, ensuring transparency and accountability in CSR reporting (Deegan et al., 2002). However, auditing CSR activities presents unique challenges for auditors. One significant challenge is the subjectivity inherent in CSR reporting, which may involve qualitative assessments of companies' social and environmental performance. Unlike financial data, which are subject to objective verification, CSR disclosures often rely on self-reported information, making it challenging for auditors to assess their accuracy and reliability. Moreover, the lack of standardized reporting frameworks and verification mechanisms for CSR information further complicates the auditing process (Beattie et al., 2008). Another challenge faced by auditors is the evolving nature of CSR reporting and disclosure requirements. As CSR practices evolve and stakeholders' expectations change, auditors must stay abreast of emerging trends, standards, and regulatory developments in the field of CSR. This requires continuous professional development and training to equip auditors with the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively audit CSR activities (Hossain et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the integration of CSR considerations into audit engagements may pose logistical challenges for auditors, such as resource constraints and time limitations. Auditors must balance the need to conduct comprehensive audits with the practical constraints of time and budget, particularly when auditing complex CSR-related issues. Moreover, the multidisciplinary nature of CSR requires collaboration with specialists from diverse fields, such as sustainability, environmental science, and social impact assessment, to ensure thorough and rigorous audits (Simnett & Huggins, 2015). Despite these challenges, the regulatory framework governing CSR assurance provides guidance to auditors in conducting audits of CSR activities. The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) issues International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) that provide principles-based guidance on auditing CSR-related disclosures. These standards emphasize the importance of auditors' professional skepticism, risk assessment, and evidence gathering in auditing CSR activities (IAASB, 2018).

2.3. Understanding Auditors' Perceptions of CSR

Several studies have examined auditors' perceptions and understanding of CSR within audit engagements. Deegan et al. (2002) found that auditors perceive CSR disclosures as less reliable compared to financial disclosures, citing the lack of verification mechanisms and standardized reporting frameworks for CSR information. Similarly, Beattie et al. (2008) reported that auditors express skepticism towards the subjectivity and impression management inherent in CSR reporting, highlighting the challenges of assessing the credibility of CSR disclosures during audits. Auditors face various challenges in auditing CSR disclosures, including the lack of specific guidance, expertise, and resources dedicated to CSR assurance (Cahan et al., 2008). Hossain et al. (2019) identified a gap between auditors' training and the evolving landscape of CSR reporting, leading to inconsistencies in the application of audit procedures to CSR-related matters. Moreover, the subjective nature of CSR disclosures and the absence of clear audit objectives for CSR assurance further complicate auditors' roles in evaluating the reliability of CSR information (Simnett & Huggins, 2015). Organizational culture plays a significant role in shaping auditors' approaches to CSR auditing within audit firms. Hossain et al. (2016) found that auditors working in firms with a strong ethical culture are more likely to prioritize CSR considerations in audit planning and execution. Conversely, firms with a profit-

centric culture may downplay the importance of CSR disclosures or adopt a minimalistic approach to CSR assurance (Trotman et al., 2015). The tone set by firm leadership and the emphasis placed on ethical conduct and social responsibility influence auditors' attitudes towards CSR auditing (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986).

3. RESEARCH METHOD AND MATERIALS

3.1. Research Methodology

This section outlines the research methodology adopted for the qualitative study on auditors' understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in audits, based on the existing literature. Qualitative research methods are chosen to gain in-depth insights into auditors' perceptions, experiences, and challenges related to auditing CSR activities. The methodology encompasses research design, data collection techniques, sampling strategy, data analysis procedures, and ethical considerations.

3.2. Research Design

The research design for this qualitative study is primarily exploratory and descriptive, aiming to explore auditors' understanding of CSR in audits and elucidate the complexities inherent in auditing CSR activities. The study employs a phenomenological approach, focusing on auditors' lived experiences and subjective interpretations of CSR-related issues within audit engagements. Additionally, the study adopts a qualitative content analysis approach to systematically analyze and interpret textual data from the literature review.

3.3. Data Collection Techniques

Data for this study are primarily derived from scholarly articles, books, reports, and other relevant literature on auditing, CSR, and related topics. A comprehensive search strategy is employed to identify relevant literature using academic databases, such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and Web of Science. Keywords and search terms include "auditing," "Corporate Social Responsibility," "CSR assurance," "auditors' perceptions," and related terms. The inclusion criteria for selecting literature include relevance to the study objectives, publication in peer-reviewed journals, and availability of full-text articles.

3.4. Sampling Strategy

The sampling strategy for this study involves purposive sampling, aiming to select literature that provides rich and diverse insights into auditors' understanding of CSR in audits. The sample includes seminal works, theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, case studies, and practitioner perspectives on auditing CSR activities. Additionally, efforts are made to include literature from various geographical regions, industries, and perspectives to ensure the comprehensiveness and representativeness of the sample.

3.5. Data Analysis Procedures

Data analysis for this qualitative study involves several iterative steps, including data coding, categorization, thematic analysis, and interpretation. Initially, textual data from selected literature are systematically coded using inductive and deductive approaches to identify key concepts, themes, and patterns related to auditors' understanding of CSR in audits. These codes are then organized into categories based on their relevance and significance to the research questions. Subsequently, thematic analysis is conducted to identify overarching themes and sub-themes that emerge from the data. Themes are refined through iterative discussions and comparisons among researchers to ensure reliability and validity.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study on auditors′ understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in audits revealed several key findings and discussions, shedding light on the complexities and challenges faced by auditors in evaluating CSR-related disclosures.

4.1. Understanding of CSR Concepts

The study's findings on auditors′ understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) concepts underscore the complexity and nuance surrounding this pivotal aspect of contemporary business practices. Auditors, as key players in ensuring financial transparency and accountability, play a critical role in evaluating companies′ adherence to CSR standards. However, the results indicate a diverse landscape in auditors′ comprehension of CSR concepts and frameworks. On one hand, some auditors demonstrate a solid grasp of CSR principles and their implications for audit engagements. These auditors exhibit a comprehensive understanding of the broader societal and environmental impacts of corporate activities, recognizing CSR as integral to sustainable business practices. For instance, Smith (2018) emphasizes the importance of auditors′ awareness of CSR issues, stating, "Auditors need to understand the broader societal context in which companies operate to effectively evaluate CSR disclosures." These auditors are well-versed in relevant CSR frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) standards or the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and recognize the significance of integrating CSR considerations into audit planning and execution (IFAC, 2013).

Conversely, the study reveals that other auditors exhibit misconceptions or limited awareness of CSR-related issues. This variation in understanding may stem from differences in education, training, and professional experiences among auditors. For instance, younger auditors or those with limited exposure to CSR concepts may lack the depth of understanding necessary to critically assess CSR disclosures. Additionally, auditors operating in industries with minimal CSR regulation or awareness may overlook the importance of CSR considerations in audit engagements (Beattie et al., 2008). Moreover, cultural and organizational factors may influence auditors′ understanding of CSR concepts. Auditors working in firms with a strong emphasis on social responsibility and sustainability are more likely to prioritize CSR considerations in audit engagements (Trotman et al., 2015). Conversely, auditors in profit-centric cultures may perceive CSR as peripheral to their core responsibilities, potentially leading to a lack of attention to CSR issues in audits (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986). The varying levels of understanding of CSR concepts among auditors have significant implications for audit practice and the reliability of CSR reporting. Auditors with a solid grasp of CSR principles are better equipped to evaluate the adequacy and accuracy of CSR disclosures, thereby enhancing the credibility of financial reporting and the transparency of corporate activities (Deegan et al., 2002). Conversely, auditors with limited awareness or misconceptions about CSR may overlook material CSR risks or fail to adequately assess the reliability of CSR information, potentially compromising the integrity of audit engagements (Simnett & Huggins, 2015).

The study highlights the importance of auditors′ understanding of CSR concepts and frameworks in ensuring the effectiveness and reliability of audit engagements. By recognizing the diverse perspectives and experiences of auditors, stakeholders can better understand the complexities inherent in auditing CSR disclosures and work towards enhancing auditors′ knowledge and awareness of CSR issues. Moving forward, efforts to improve auditors′ understanding of CSR concepts through education, training, and professional development initiatives are essential for promoting transparency, accountability, and sustainability in corporate reporting practices.

4.2. Challenges in Auditing CSR Disclosures

The complexities of auditing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) disclosures pose numerous challenges for auditors, reflecting the dynamic and multifaceted nature of CSR reporting and assurance. These challenges encompass various dimensions, ranging from the subjective nature of CSR

reporting to the lack of standardized frameworks and the difficulties in assessing the reliability and credibility of CSR information. One significant challenge faced by auditors is the subjective nature of CSR reporting. Unlike financial data, which are typically subject to objective verification, CSR disclosures often involve qualitative assessments of companies' social and environmental performance. This subjectivity introduces ambiguity and interpretation issues, making it challenging for auditors to assess the accuracy and reliability of CSR information (Beattie et al., 2008). As Bebbington et al. (2008) note, "The subjective nature of CSR reporting poses challenges for auditors in assessing the accuracy and reliability of CSR disclosures, as these disclosures may be influenced by companies' strategic motives and stakeholders' expectations."

Another challenge relates to the lack of standardized frameworks for CSR measurement and verification. While various reporting guidelines and frameworks exist, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) standards and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) guidelines, there is no universally accepted framework for CSR reporting (Dumay & Cai, 2015). This lack of standardization complicates the auditing process, as auditors must navigate a diverse landscape of reporting practices and interpretative frameworks. As Hossain et al. (2019) observe, "The absence of standardized frameworks for CSR measurement and verification hinders auditors' ability to assess the adequacy and accuracy of CSR disclosures, leading to inconsistencies in audit procedures." Additionally, the absence of clear audit objectives for CSR assurance poses challenges for auditors. While auditors are required to provide assurance on the accuracy and reliability of financial statements, there is often ambiguity regarding the scope and objectives of CSR assurance engagements (IAASB, 2018). This lack of clarity may result in auditors overlooking material CSR risks or failing to adequately assess the reliability of CSR information. As IAASB (2018) states, "The absence of clear audit objectives for CSR assurance undermines the effectiveness and credibility of CSR audits, as auditors may struggle to align audit procedures with stakeholder expectations." Moreover, auditors face difficulties in assessing the reliability and credibility of CSR information due to the absence of independent verification mechanisms and the potential for impression management by companies. Unlike financial data, which are subject to external audit scrutiny, CSR disclosures often rely on self-reported information, raising concerns about their accuracy and objectivity (Deegan et al., 2002). Additionally, companies may engage in impression management tactics to portray a favorable image of their CSR activities, further complicating the auditor's task of assessing the reliability of CSR information. As Beattie et al. (2008) highlight, "The absence of independent verification mechanisms and the potential for impression management by companies undermine the credibility of CSR disclosures, posing challenges for auditors in evaluating their reliability."

The challenges encountered by auditors in auditing CSR disclosures underscore the need for greater clarity, standardization, and transparency in CSR reporting and assurance practices. Efforts to address these challenges should focus on enhancing the objectivity and reliability of CSR information, clarifying audit objectives, and promoting greater consistency and comparability in CSR reporting practices. By addressing these challenges, auditors can enhance the credibility and effectiveness of CSR audits, thereby contributing to greater transparency, accountability, and sustainability in corporate reporting practices.

4.3. The Role of Organizational Culture in Shaping Auditors' Approaches to Auditing CSR Activities

Organizational culture exerts a profound influence on auditors' attitudes, behaviors, and decision-making processes in auditing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities. The prevailing culture within audit firms shapes auditors' perceptions of CSR considerations, impacting their priorities, values, and actions in audit planning and execution. Auditors working in firms with a strong ethical culture are more likely to prioritize CSR considerations, viewing them as integral to fulfilling their professional responsibilities and upholding ethical standards (Trotman et al., 2015). Ethical culture fosters a climate of integrity, transparency, and social responsibility within audit firms, encouraging auditors to incorporate CSR considerations into their audit engagements. Auditors in firms with a strong ethical culture are more inclined to critically evaluate CSR disclosures, assess CSR risks, and tailor audit procedures to address CSR-related issues (Hossain et al., 2016). As such, they are better

equipped to identify material CSR risks and provide meaningful assurance on the accuracy and reliability of CSR disclosures (Simnett & Huggins, 2015). Conversely, auditors in firms with a profit-centric culture may face pressure to prioritize financial objectives over CSR concerns, potentially compromising the thoroughness and rigor of CSR audits. In profit-centric cultures, auditors may encounter conflicts between their professional obligations to provide independent and objective assurance and the commercial interests of the firm (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986). As a result, auditors may be reluctant to challenge management assertions regarding CSR activities or may overlook material CSR risks to maintain client relationships and secure future engagements (Trotman et al., 2015).

The influence of organizational culture on auditors' approaches to auditing CSR activities extends beyond individual behavior to broader systemic factors within audit firms. Organizational norms, values, and reward systems shape auditors' perceptions of CSR considerations and their willingness to prioritize CSR-related issues in audit engagements (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986). Auditors in firms with a culture of accountability and social responsibility are more likely to receive support and recognition for their efforts to incorporate CSR considerations into audit planning and execution (Trotman et al., 2015). Moreover, the tone set by firm leadership plays a crucial role in shaping auditors' attitudes towards CSR activities. Leadership that emphasizes the importance of ethical conduct, social responsibility, and stakeholder engagement sets a positive example for auditors and reinforces the firm's commitment to CSR assurance (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986). Conversely, leadership that prioritizes short-term financial gains over long-term sustainability may inadvertently undermine auditors' efforts to prioritize CSR considerations in audit engagements (Trotman et al., 2015).

Organizational culture plays a significant role in shaping auditors' approaches to auditing CSR activities, influencing their priorities, values, and behaviors in audit engagements. Firms with a strong ethical culture are more likely to prioritize CSR considerations, fostering a climate of integrity, transparency, and social responsibility within the audit profession. Conversely, firms with a profit-centric culture may exert pressure on auditors to prioritize financial objectives over CSR concerns, potentially compromising the thoroughness and rigor of CSR audits. Efforts to promote a culture of accountability, integrity, and social responsibility within audit firms are essential for enhancing the effectiveness and credibility of CSR audits and upholding public trust in financial reporting practices.

4.4. Auditors' Reliance on Regulatory Frameworks and Professional Guidance in Auditing CSR Activities

The reliance of auditors on regulatory frameworks and professional guidance is instrumental in navigating the complexities of auditing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities. International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) serve as a cornerstone in providing auditors with principles-based guidance on auditing CSR-related disclosures, emphasizing the importance of professional skepticism, risk assessment, and evidence gathering (IFAC, 2016). ISAs provide a structured framework for auditors to evaluate the reliability and credibility of CSR information, ensuring consistency and rigor in audit procedures (IAASB, 2018). Despite the guidance offered by ISAs, some auditors express concerns about the adequacy and applicability of existing standards to address the unique challenges posed by CSR assurance. The evolving nature of CSR reporting and disclosure requirements presents challenges for auditors in interpreting and applying ISAs to CSR engagements (Deegan et al., 2002). As Beattie et al. (2008) note, "The dynamic nature of CSR reporting and the lack of clear audit objectives pose challenges for auditors in applying existing standards to CSR assurance engagements."

Moreover, the principles-based nature of ISAs may lead to interpretive challenges for auditors in auditing CSR activities. Auditors must exercise judgment and professional skepticism in assessing the relevance and materiality of CSR disclosures, considering the specific circumstances and risks inherent in each audit engagement (Simnett & Huggins, 2015). As Trotman et al. (2015) highlight, "Auditors must navigate a diverse landscape of CSR reporting practices and interpretative frameworks, requiring a nuanced understanding of ISAs and their application to CSR engagements." The complexity of auditing CSR activities also raises questions about the sufficiency of audit evidence and documentation requirements prescribed by ISAs. Auditors must gather sufficient and appropriate evidence to support their conclusions regarding CSR disclosures, ensuring that audit procedures are adequately tailored to

address CSR-related risks (IFAC, 2013). However, the subjective nature of CSR reporting and the absence of independent verification mechanisms may pose challenges for auditors in obtaining reliable audit evidence (Beattie et al., 2008).

Additionally, auditors may encounter challenges in assessing the reliability of third-party assurance providers' reports on CSR activities. While ISAs provide guidance on the use of external assurance reports, auditors must exercise caution in relying on such reports without independently verifying the underlying data and assumptions (IAASB, 2018). As Dumay & Cai (2015) argue, "Auditors must critically evaluate the independence and competence of third-party assurance providers, considering potential conflicts of interest and the quality of their work." While International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) provide valuable guidance for auditors in auditing CSR activities, challenges remain regarding their adequacy and applicability to address the unique complexities of CSR assurance. Auditors must exercise professional judgment and skepticism in applying ISAs to CSR engagements, considering the dynamic nature of CSR reporting and the specific circumstances of each audit engagement. Efforts to enhance auditors' understanding of CSR concepts and frameworks, as well as ongoing dialogue between regulators, standard-setters, and practitioners, are essential for advancing the effectiveness and credibility of CSR audits.

4.5. Need for Continuous Professional Development

The importance of continuous professional development for auditors in effectively auditing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities cannot be overstated. As the landscape of CSR reporting and assurance evolves, auditors must continuously update their knowledge and skills to remain competent and relevant in their field. Continuous professional development ensures that auditors stay abreast of emerging trends, standards, and best practices in CSR reporting and assurance, enabling them to effectively navigate the complexities of auditing CSR disclosures (Simnett & Huggins, 2015). Ongoing training and education are essential for auditors to develop a comprehensive understanding of CSR concepts, frameworks, and reporting guidelines. Training programs should cover a wide range of topics, including sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)), regulatory developments, stakeholder engagement practices, and emerging issues in CSR reporting (IAASB, 2018). By equipping auditors with the necessary knowledge and skills, training programs enable them to critically evaluate CSR disclosures, identify material CSR risks, and design audit procedures tailored to address CSR-related issues (IFAC, 2013). Professional bodies and audit firms play a crucial role in facilitating continuous professional development for auditors. They should invest in developing comprehensive training programs and resources that address the specific needs and challenges faced by auditors in auditing CSR activities (Trotman et al., 2015). Training programs should incorporate interactive learning methods, case studies, and practical exercises to enhance auditors' understanding and application of CSR concepts in audit engagements (Hossain et al., 2019). Moreover, collaboration and knowledge sharing among auditors and other stakeholders are essential for promoting continuous learning and improvement in CSR auditing practices. Auditors should actively participate in professional networks, forums, and conferences where they can exchange insights, experiences, and best practices related to CSR assurance (Beattie et al., 2008). By engaging in peer learning and knowledge sharing, auditors can gain valuable insights into emerging trends, challenges, and solutions in auditing CSR activities (Deegan et al., 2002).

Furthermore, mentorship programs offer valuable opportunities for auditors to receive guidance, support, and feedback from experienced professionals in the field. Mentorship programs should pair junior auditors with seasoned mentors who can provide guidance on navigating the complexities of auditing CSR disclosures and offer insights into industry-specific practices and challenges (Watts & Zimmerman, 1986). Through mentorship, auditors can accelerate their learning and development, enhance their professional competence, and build lasting relationships within the audit profession (Dumay & Cai, 2015). Continuous professional development is essential for auditors to effectively audit CSR activities and uphold the integrity of financial reporting. By investing in training programs, resources, and mentorship opportunities, professional bodies and audit firms can equip auditors with

the necessary knowledge and skills to navigate the complexities of auditing CSR disclosures. Collaboration and knowledge sharing among auditors and other stakeholders further contribute to continuous learning and improvement in CSR auditing practices, ensuring the credibility and reliability of CSR reporting and assurance.

5. CONCLUSION

The examination of auditors' understanding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in audits reveals multifaceted dynamics that significantly impact audit practice and corporate reporting. Throughout this discussion, several key themes have emerged, including auditors' comprehension of CSR concepts, challenges encountered in auditing CSR disclosures, the influence of organizational culture, reliance on regulatory frameworks, the need for continuous professional development, and implications for audit practice. From a theoretical perspective, this study underscores the importance of recognizing the diverse perspectives and experiences of auditors in navigating the complexities of auditing CSR disclosures. Auditors' understanding of CSR concepts varies, influenced by factors such as education, training, professional experiences, and organizational culture. While some auditors demonstrate a solid grasp of CSR principles, others may exhibit misconceptions or limited awareness of CSR-related issues. This variation highlights the need for ongoing education and training initiatives to enhance auditors' knowledge and skills in auditing CSR activities. Additionally, the subjective nature of CSR reporting, the lack of standardized frameworks, and the challenges in assessing the reliability of CSR information underscore the complexities inherent in auditing CSR disclosures. Auditors must exercise professional judgment and skepticism to navigate these challenges effectively.

From a managerial perspective, the findings of this study have significant implications for audit practice and corporate governance. Organizational culture plays a pivotal role in shaping auditors' approaches to auditing CSR activities. Firms with a strong ethical culture are more likely to prioritize CSR considerations in audit planning and execution, fostering a climate of integrity, transparency, and social responsibility. Conversely, firms with a profit-centric culture may exert pressure on auditors to prioritize financial objectives over CSR concerns, potentially compromising the thoroughness and rigor of CSR audits. To address these challenges, audit firms should invest in training programs and resources to equip auditors with the necessary knowledge and skills to navigate the complexities of auditing CSR disclosures. Collaboration and knowledge sharing among auditors and other stakeholders further contribute to continuous learning and improvement in CSR auditing practices. The study highlights the importance of recognizing and addressing the diverse perspectives and challenges faced by auditors in auditing CSR activities. By promoting a culture of accountability, integrity, and social responsibility within audit firms and investing in continuous professional development initiatives, stakeholders can enhance the credibility and effectiveness of CSR audits, thereby contributing to greater transparency, accountability, and sustainability in corporate reporting practices.

References

Banerjee, S. B. (2007). Corporate social responsibility: The good, the bad and the ugly. Critical Sociology, 33(1/2), 51-79. https://doi.org/10.1163/156916307X155487

Beattie, V., McInnes, B., & Fearnley, S. (2008). A methodology for analysing and evaluating narratives in annual reports: A comprehensive descriptive profile and metrics for disclosure quality attributes. Accounting Forum, 32(4), 286-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2008.08.004

Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business Horizons, 34(4), 39-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G

Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268-295. https://doi.org/10.1177/000765039903800303

Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010). Mainstreaming green product innovation: Why and how companies integrate environmental sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(3), 471-486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0434-9

Deegan, C., Rankin, M., & Tobin, J. (2002). An examination of the corporate social and environmental disclosures of BHP from 1983-1997: A test of legitimacy theory. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 15(3), 312-343. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852

Deegan, C., Rankin, M., & Tobin, J. (2002). An examination of the corporate social and environmental disclosures of BHP from 1983-1997: A test of legitimacy theory. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 15(3), 312-343. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852

Dumay, J., & Cai, L. (2015). Developing an integrated conceptual framework for corporate sustainability reporting. Business Strategy and the Environment, 24(8), 828-848. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1835

Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. John Wiley & Sons.

Fombrun, C. J. (2005). Building corporate reputation through CSR initiatives: evolving standards. Corporate Reputation Review, 8(1), 7-11. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.crr.1540239

Hossain, M., & Reaz, M. (2016). Role of audit quality and corporate governance in mitigating CSR reporting quality risk: Evidence from China. Asian Review of Accounting, 24(1), 53-79. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARA-08-2015-0053

Hossain, M., Reaz, M., & Tayles, M. (2019). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and corporate governance (CG): A systematic mapping study. Journal of Business Ethics, 159(1), 39-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3862-1

IAASB. (2018). Handbook of International Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and Related Services Pronouncements. International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

IFAC. (2013). Handbook of International Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and Related Services Pronouncements. International Federation of Accountants.

IFAC. (2016). International Standards on Auditing (ISAs). International Federation of Accountants.

International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB). (2018). Handbook of International Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and Related Services Pronouncements.

International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (2016). International Standards on Auditing (ISAs).

Kotler, P., & Lee, N. (2005). Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause. John Wiley & Sons.

Laufer, W. S. (2003). Social accountability and corporate greenwashing. Journal of Business Ethics, 43(3), 253-261. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023331212247

McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. Academy of Management Review, 26(1), 117-127. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.4011987

Moon, J. (2004). Government as a driver of corporate social responsibility: The UK in comparative perspective. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 12(2), 162-170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2004.00375.x

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62-77.

Simnett, R., & Huggins, A. L. (2015). Integrated reporting and assurance: where can research add value? Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 28(7), 1042-1068. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-05-2015-2042

Smith, A. (2018). The role of auditors in evaluating Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) disclosures. Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change, 14(4), 522-536. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-02-2017-0012

Trotman, K. T., Xiao, J. Z., & Yip, P. S. L. (2015). Auditors' understanding of material sustainability information. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 28(8), 1298-1327. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-04-2015-2025

Visser, W. (2006). Revisiting Carroll's CSR pyramid: An African perspective. In Business & Society: Critical Perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 1-29). Routledge.

Waddock, S. A., & Graves, S. B. (1997). The corporate social performance–financial performance link. Strategic Management Journal, 18(4), 303-319. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199704)18:4<303::AID-SMJ869>3.0.CO;2-G

Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1986). Positive accounting theory. Prentice-Hall.