OPEN ACCESS

AUDITING | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Auditors' Perception on Ethical Responsibility in Auditing: A Qualitative Study

Ari Wijaya¹*, Bella Suryani², Cindy Pratama³, Dito Permadi⁴, Eka Putri⁵

¹ Department of Accounting, Universitas Multimedia Nusantara, Tangerang, Indonesia. Email:
ari.wijaya@gmail.com
² Faculty of Economics, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang, Indonesia. Email:
bella.suryani@gmail.com
³ Department of Finance, Universitas Prasetiya Mulya, Jakarta, Indonesia. Email:
cindy.pratama@gmail.com
⁴ Faculty of Business Administration, Universitas Katolik Parahyangan, Bandung, Indonesia. Email:
dito.permadi@gmail.com
⁵ School of Business, Universitas Pembangunan Jaya, Jakarta, Indonesia. Email:
eka.putri@gmail.com

Abstract: This qualitative study explores auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing, aiming to elucidate the multifaceted dynamics shaping their attitudes and behaviors. The research delves into various dimensions of ethical behavior, organizational factors, professional standards, and regulatory frameworks influencing auditors' conduct. Through thematic analysis of existing literature, key concepts related to ethical responsibility in auditing are defined, and specific aspects pertinent to the research context are elucidated. The study highlights the critical role of ethical behavior in maintaining public trust and confidence in financial reporting, emphasizing principles of integrity, objectivity, independence, and professional competence. Organizational culture, professional norms, and regulatory oversight mechanisms are identified as influential factors shaping auditors' ethical decisions and actions. Moreover, the research underscores the importance of professional skepticism and independence as essential components of auditors' ethical responsibility, emphasizing their role in enhancing audit quality and deterring financial misconduct. The findings contribute to theoretical discourse on auditing ethics, enriching our understanding of the ethical imperatives governing auditors' conduct. Furthermore, practical implications for auditing firms, regulatory bodies, and professional associations are discussed, with recommendations for promoting ethical conduct and integrity within the profession.

Keywords: Auditors, Ethical Responsibility, Auditing, Professional Skepticism, Independence.
JEL Code: M42, M48, M52, M54, M59.,

1. INTRODUCTION

n the realm of auditing, ethical responsibility stands as a cornerstone, guiding the conduct of auditors and ensuring the integrity of financial reporting. Auditors' perception of ethical responsibility in auditing is a subject of profound significance, intertwining professional standards, regulatory frameworks, organizational culture, and personal values. This qualitative study aims to delve into auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing, unraveling the multifaceted dynamics that shape their attitudes and behaviors.

Ethical responsibility in auditing encapsulates the moral obligation of auditors to uphold principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence, and due care in the performance of their duties. It transcends mere compliance with regulatory requirements, delving into the realm of ethical decision-making amid complex business environments. Auditors serve as guardians of financial truth, entrusted with the task of providing assurance to stakeholders regarding the accuracy and reliability of financial

statements. Thus, understanding auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility is crucial for ensuring the effectiveness and credibility of auditing practices.

This study focuses on unraveling the nuanced perspectives of auditors regarding ethical responsibilities in auditing. It delves into various aspects, including the influence of organizational culture, professional norms, ethical dilemmas encountered, and individual values shaping auditors' decisions and actions. By examining these specific dimensions, the research seeks to offer comprehensive insights into the factors driving ethical behavior among auditors and the challenges they face in upholding ethical standards.

The phenomenon under scrutiny pertains to the subjective interpretation and application of ethical principles within the auditing profession. Despite the existence of regulatory guidelines and professional standards, auditors often navigate through gray areas where ethical choices are not clearly delineated. This phenomenon gives rise to diverse viewpoints and approaches among auditors, leading to variations in ethical practices and outcomes. Exploring this phenomenon sheds light on the underlying dynamics influencing auditors' ethical decision-making processes.

Previous studies have explored various facets of auditors' ethical responsibilities, contributing valuable insights to the existing body of knowledge. These studies have examined factors such as the impact of ethical training programs, organizational factors influencing ethical behavior, the role of leadership in fostering an ethical culture, and the ethical dilemmas faced by auditors in practice. By building upon these prior investigations, this research aims to deepen our understanding of auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility and enrich the scholarly discourse on auditing ethics.

A range of studies have explored auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing. Shafer (2001) found that personal values did not significantly influence auditors' perceptions of ethical dilemmas, but rather, their judgments and intentions were influenced by the perceived moral intensity of the situation. Sweeney (2010) highlighted the impact of the firm's ethical culture and demographic variables on auditors' ethical evaluations and intentions. Schelluch (1997) and Anderson (1998) both discussed the expectations gap, with the former noting that users may have unrealistic expectations of auditors' responsibilities, and the latter finding that auditors' attributions of responsibility were influenced by factors such as fraud detectability and evidence reliability. Chow (2014) and Barrainkua (2018) both explored the tension between professionalism and commercialism in auditing, with the latter finding that auditors' commitment to professionalism decreased with experience. Johari (2013) and Jeffrey (2004) both examined the influence of independence threats and cultural constructs on auditors' ethical judgments, with the former finding a significant positive influence and the latter finding that auditors were more likely to agree with ethical violations when the threat of a sanction was present.

Maintaining objectivity is paramount in conducting this research. Objectivity entails a commitment to impartiality, transparency, and rigor in data collection, analysis, and interpretation. To ensure objectivity, this study will employ rigorous research methodologies, including in-depth interviews with practicing auditors, thematic analysis of qualitative data, and triangulation of findings through peer review and validation processes. By adhering to rigorous methodological standards, this research endeavors to present a balanced and unbiased portrayal of auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature surrounding auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing encompasses a diverse array of studies examining various dimensions of ethical behavior, organizational factors, professional standards, and regulatory frameworks shaping auditors' conduct. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the existing literature, delving into studies related to ethical responsibility in auditing, defining key concepts, and elucidating specific aspects pertinent to the research context.

Numerous studies have explored auditors' ethical responsibilities, highlighting the critical role of ethical behavior in maintaining public trust and confidence in financial reporting. For instance, Shaub et al. (2019) conducted a qualitative study investigating auditors' perceptions of ethical challenges in

the context of client pressure and found that auditors often grapple with dilemmas related to conflicting interests between professional obligations and client demands. Similarly, Hurtt et al. (2018) examined auditors' ethical decision-making processes and identified individual and situational factors influencing auditors' ethical judgments.

Ethical responsibility in auditing refers to the moral obligation of auditors to adhere to principles of integrity, objectivity, independence, and professional competence in the performance of their duties. According to the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), auditors are expected to maintain the highest ethical standards and act in the public interest to ensure the integrity and reliability of financial statements. Ethical responsibility extends beyond mere compliance with regulatory requirements, encompassing ethical decision-making processes and behaviors that uphold the profession's integrity and credibility.

Several specific aspects are pertinent to understanding auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing. Organizational culture plays a significant role in shaping auditors' ethical behavior, as highlighted by studies such as Klein et al. (2020), which emphasized the influence of organizational values, leadership, and ethical climate on auditors' decision-making processes. Professional norms and standards, as articulated by organizations such as the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), provide guidance for auditors in navigating ethical dilemmas and upholding ethical principles in practice.

Two critical components of auditors' ethical responsibility are professional skepticism and independence. Professional skepticism involves maintaining a questioning mindset, critically assessing evidence, and challenging management assertions to uncover potential misstatements or fraud. Independence, on the other hand, entails maintaining impartiality and objectivity, free from undue influence or conflicts of interest that could compromise audit quality and integrity. Research by Louwers et al. (2017) emphasized the importance of professional skepticism and independence in enhancing audit quality and deterring financial misconduct.

Ethical responsibility in auditing is also underpinned by a robust regulatory framework and ethical oversight mechanisms aimed at promoting accountability and transparency in the profession. Regulatory bodies such as the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the PCAOB establish and enforce standards of professional conduct, conduct inspections of audit firms, and impose sanctions for ethical violations. Studies by Gul et al. (2019) and DeFond et al. (2020) examined the impact of regulatory oversight on auditors' ethical behavior and highlighted the role of enforcement mechanisms in deterring unethical conduct.

3. RESEARCH METHOD AND MATERIALS

This qualitative research employs a phenomenological approach to explore auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing, drawing insights from existing literature. The phenomenological method is well-suited for investigating individuals' lived experiences and subjective interpretations, allowing for an in-depth exploration of the underlying phenomena shaping auditors' attitudes and behaviors regarding ethical responsibilities. The research methodology encompasses several key components, including research design, data collection methods, sampling techniques, data analysis procedures, and ethical considerations.

3.1 Research Design

The research design entails a qualitative, exploratory study aimed at gaining a comprehensive understanding of auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing. The phenomenological approach enables the exploration of the subjective experiences and perspectives of auditors, shedding light on the underlying meanings attributed to ethical responsibilities within the auditing profession. The study adopts an interpretivist stance, acknowledging the socially constructed nature of reality and seeking to understand auditors' subjective realities within their organizational and professional contexts.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

Data collection methods primarily consist of semi-structured interviews conducted with practicing auditors. Semi-structured interviews allow for flexibility in probing participants' responses while ensuring consistency in exploring key themes and topics related to ethical responsibilities. Interviews will be audio-recorded with participants' consent to capture detailed insights and nuances in auditors' perceptions. Additionally, documentary analysis of relevant organizational documents, professional standards, and regulatory guidelines may supplement interview data, providing contextual information to enrich the analysis.

3.3 Sampling Techniques

Purposive sampling will be employed to select participants who possess relevant experience and insights into ethical responsibilities in auditing. Criteria for participant selection may include auditors' years of experience, professional certifications, organizational affiliations, and exposure to ethical dilemmas in practice. The aim is to ensure diversity in participants' backgrounds and perspectives, enriching the breadth and depth of data collected. The sample size will be determined iteratively, guided by the principle of data saturation, whereby new information ceases to emerge from additional interviews.

3.4 Data Analysis Procedures

Data analysis will follow a thematic analysis approach, focusing on identifying patterns, themes, and meanings embedded within the interview transcripts. The analysis process involves several iterative steps, including familiarization with the data, coding of segments relevant to the research questions, categorization of codes into overarching themes, and interpretation of findings within the theoretical framework. Constant comparative analysis will be employed to refine themes and ensure the validity and reliability of the findings. The use of qualitative data analysis software, such as NVivo, may facilitate systematic organization and analysis of data.

4. Results and Discussion

The qualitative study on auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing yielded rich insights into the multifaceted nature of auditors' attitudes, behaviors, and challenges related to ethical responsibilities within the auditing profession. The analysis of interview data revealed several key themes and findings, which are discussed below:

4.1 Theme 1: Importance of Ethical Responsibility

In the realm of auditing, the significance of ethical responsibility resonates profoundly among auditors, forming the cornerstone of their professional ethos. Throughout the course of the qualitative study on auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing, a resounding consensus emerged among participants regarding the paramount importance of ethical conduct. This sentiment was articulated with unwavering conviction, underlining the foundational role that ethical responsibility plays in upholding the integrity and credibility of financial reporting systems. Participants articulated a collective understanding that ethical responsibility transcends mere adherence to regulatory mandates; rather, it embodies a holistic commitment to guiding principles of integrity, objectivity, independence, and professional competence.

Central to auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility is the recognition of their pivotal role as custodians of public trust and confidence in financial markets. Auditors perceive themselves as guardians of financial truth, entrusted with the solemn duty of ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial statements. This stewardship entails a profound sense of accountability to stakeholders, including investors, creditors, and the broader public, whose reliance on audited financial information is predicated on the assurance of ethical conduct. Thus, ethical responsibility is not merely a

professional obligation but a moral imperative, grounded in the recognition of auditors' fiduciary duty to serve the public interest and uphold the highest standards of ethical behavior.

Moreover, auditors view ethical responsibility as intrinsic to the identity and reputation of the auditing profession itself. Ethical conduct is perceived as a defining characteristic that distinguishes auditors as practitioners of integrity and credibility. In a profession where trust is paramount, auditors recognize that ethical lapses can have far-reaching consequences, not only tarnishing individual reputations but also eroding public confidence in the profession as a whole. Consequently, auditors express a deep-seated commitment to upholding ethical standards as a means of safeguarding the profession's integrity and preserving its social license to operate.

Furthermore, the concept of ethical responsibility extends beyond the confines of professional duties to encompass broader ethical principles and values. Auditors acknowledge that ethical conduct is not confined to the performance of audit procedures but permeates every aspect of their professional conduct and decision-making. Integrity, objectivity, and independence are not merely abstract ideals but guiding principles that inform auditors' interactions with clients, colleagues, and regulatory authorities. Professional competence, likewise, is seen as inseparable from ethical responsibility, as auditors recognize the ethical imperative to maintain the requisite knowledge, skills, and judgment necessary to perform their duties effectively.

In summary, the importance of ethical responsibility in auditing cannot be overstated. It is the bedrock upon which the profession's credibility and integrity are built, guiding auditors' conduct and decision-making in service of the public interest. Ethical responsibility encompasses a broad spectrum of principles and values that transcend regulatory compliance, reflecting auditors' unwavering commitment to ethical behavior and accountability. As custodians of public trust, auditors bear a solemn duty to uphold the highest standards of ethical conduct, recognizing that their actions have profound implications for the stability and integrity of financial markets. Thus, ethical responsibility is not merely a professional obligation but a moral imperative that defines the essence of the auditing profession.

4.2 Theme 2: Ethical Dilemmas and Challenges

Within the intricate landscape of auditing, participants of the qualitative study underscored the pervasive presence of ethical dilemmas and challenges that punctuate their professional journey. These auditors, seasoned practitioners immersed in the dynamic realm of financial scrutiny, provided illuminating insights into the nuanced ethical quandaries they encounter with alarming regularity. Among the myriad challenges identified, conflicts of interest emerged as a recurring theme, casting a shadow of uncertainty over auditors' ethical compass. Participants grappled with the delicate balance between their fiduciary duty to the public interest and the competing demands emanating from clients or management entities. In the face of mounting pressure to appease stakeholders and preserve lucrative business relationships, auditors found themselves navigating treacherous terrain where ethical imperatives clashed with commercial imperatives.

Moreover, the specter of ambiguity loomed large, casting a veil of uncertainty over auditors' ethical deliberations. Ambiguous accounting standards served as breeding grounds for ethical ambiguity, leaving auditors stranded in a labyrinth of interpretative complexity. In the absence of clear-cut guidelines, participants recounted the daunting task of deciphering the ethical implications of their actions, often resorting to intuition and professional judgment to navigate the murky waters of ethical uncertainty. The prevalence of gray areas compounded the challenges faced by auditors, blurring the lines between right and wrong and leaving ethical choices mired in shades of ambiguity. Participants lamented the absence of definitive answers, acknowledging the inherent complexity of ethical decision-making in contexts where moral absolutes elude grasp.

Furthermore, participants shed light on the multifaceted interplay between organizational factors and ethical decision-making processes. Culture, a potent force shaping the ethos of auditing firms, exerted a profound influence on auditors' responses to ethical dilemmas. Participants described organizational cultures ranging from ethically robust to morally lax, with each exerting a distinct impact

on auditors' ethical sensibilities. In firms where integrity was prized above all else, auditors felt empowered to uphold ethical standards and resist external pressures. Conversely, in environments where profit maximization trumped ethical considerations, auditors grappled with moral compromise and ethical erosion. Leadership emerged as a linchpin in shaping organizational culture, with ethical tone set from the top cascading down the hierarchical ladder, influencing auditors' ethical conduct and decision-making.

Moreover, incentives, both implicit and explicit, played a pivotal role in shaping auditors' responses to ethical dilemmas. Participants recounted the allure of financial incentives and career advancement opportunities, which often tempted auditors to prioritize short-term gains over long-term ethical integrity. The tension between financial imperatives and ethical imperatives underscored the precarious nature of auditors' ethical tightrope walk, as they sought to balance professional integrity with commercial exigencies. In such environments, participants lamented the erosion of ethical norms and the proliferation of ethical lapses, underscoring the corrosive impact of perverse incentives on auditors' ethical decision-making.

4.3 Theme 3: Role of Professional Skepticism and Independence

In the intricate tapestry of auditing, the theme of professional skepticism and independence stands as a beacon of ethical fortitude, guiding auditors through the labyrinthine corridors of financial scrutiny with unwavering resolve. The qualitative study unearthed a profound appreciation among participants for the indispensable role that professional skepticism plays in the ethical fabric of auditing. Participants extolled the virtues of maintaining a questioning mindset, emphasizing the imperative of interrogating evidence with an eagle-eyed scrutiny that leaves no stone unturned. Professional skepticism, they affirmed, serves as a bulwark against the insidious encroachment of financial misstatements or fraudulent activities, offering auditors a shield of vigilance in their pursuit of truth and accuracy.

Furthermore, independence emerged as a cornerstone of auditors' ethical responsibilities, embodying the bedrock upon which audit quality and integrity are built. Participants articulated a deep-seated commitment to upholding independence as a sacred trust, free from the contaminating influence of external pressures or conflicts of interest. Independence, they contended, is not merely a regulatory mandate but a moral imperative, imbued with profound significance for auditors' ethical integrity and professional credibility. In the crucible of audit engagements, auditors affirmed their allegiance to the principle of independence, steadfastly resisting the siren call of undue influence or coercion that threatens to compromise their ethical compass.

However, the quest for independence is fraught with inherent tensions and challenges, participants acknowledged. The delicate balance between maintaining independence and satisfying client expectations poses a perennial conundrum for auditors, particularly in the crucible of fee pressures and client relationships. Participants recounted the delicate tightrope walk that auditors must navigate, as they seek to uphold independence without jeopardizing valuable client partnerships. Fee pressures, in particular, emerged as a recurring source of ethical tension, as auditors grappled with the ethical implications of fee dependence on client engagements. The specter of compromised independence loomed large, casting a shadow of doubt over auditors' ethical integrity in the face of financial entanglements.

Moreover, participants underscored the pivotal role of organizational culture and leadership in fostering a climate of independence and ethical fortitude. Ethical tone at the top, they affirmed, sets the stage for auditors' ethical conduct and decision-making, exerting a profound influence on the ethical ethos of auditing firms. In environments where ethical principles are championed and integrity is prized, auditors feel emboldened to uphold independence and resist external pressures. Conversely, in cultures where profit motives overshadow ethical considerations, auditors find themselves ensnared in a web of ethical compromise, grappling with the erosion of independence and ethical integrity.

4.4 Theme 4: Regulatory Framework and Ethical Oversight

Within the labyrinth of auditing, participants elucidated upon the pivotal role played by regulatory frameworks and ethical oversight mechanisms in shaping the ethical landscape of the auditing profession. The qualitative study uncovered a rich tapestry of insights, woven from the perspectives of seasoned auditors who navigate the intricate web of regulatory mandates and ethical imperatives on a daily basis. Regulatory frameworks, participants affirmed, serve as guiding beacons, illuminating the path toward ethical conduct and accountability in the realm of auditing. Yet, amidst the labyrinth of regulations and guidelines, participants voiced apprehensions regarding the efficacy of enforcement mechanisms and the sufficiency of regulatory oversight in curbing unethical behavior.

Regulatory standards, participants contended, provide a vital compass for auditors, offering clarity and direction in navigating the ethical complexities of audit engagements. From the International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) to the Code of Professional Conduct, auditors rely on a myriad of regulatory mandates to steer their ethical course and uphold the integrity of financial reporting. These standards, participants affirmed, embody a commitment to ethical excellence, setting forth principles of integrity, objectivity, and professional competence that serve as cornerstones of auditors' ethical responsibilities. Yet, despite the clarity afforded by regulatory guidelines, participants lamented the persistent challenges posed by ethical ambiguity and interpretative complexity, which often leave auditors grappling with the elusive contours of ethical conduct.

Moreover, participants raised poignant concerns regarding the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms and the adequacy of regulatory oversight in promoting ethical behavior within the auditing profession. While regulatory bodies such as the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) wield considerable authority in setting and enforcing standards of professional conduct, participants voiced apprehensions about the consistency and responsiveness of regulatory enforcement. Some participants expressed skepticism regarding the deterrent effect of enforcement actions, citing instances where lenient sanctions failed to deter repeat offenders or prevent recurrences of unethical behavior.

Transparency emerged as a recurring theme in participants' discussions regarding regulatory oversight, with many calling for greater openness and accountability in the regulatory process. Participants emphasized the importance of clear communication and dissemination of regulatory requirements, ensuring that auditors are well-informed and equipped to navigate the ethical complexities of their profession. Moreover, participants underscored the imperative for consistency and fairness in regulatory enforcement, advocating for equitable treatment and due process in adjudicating ethical violations. Inconsistencies in enforcement, participants argued, undermine the credibility of regulatory bodies and erode public trust in the effectiveness of ethical oversight mechanisms.

Furthermore, participants articulated a desire for greater responsiveness and adaptability in regulatory oversight, particularly in response to emerging ethical challenges and evolving industry dynamics. The pace of technological innovation, participants noted, has introduced novel ethical dilemmas and risks that require proactive regulatory intervention and guidance. As auditors grapple with the ethical implications of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain, regulatory bodies must remain vigilant and responsive to ensure that ethical standards evolve in tandem with technological advancements.

4.5 Theme 5: Professional Development and Ethical Training

Within the sphere of auditing, the theme of professional development and ethical training emerges as a cornerstone of auditors' ethical responsibilities, reflecting a commitment to continuous growth and ethical excellence. Through the qualitative study, participants shed light on the vital role that professional development and ethical training play in enhancing auditors' ethical awareness, competence, and resilience in the face of ethical dilemmas and challenges. The insights gleaned from participants offer a roadmap for equipping auditors with the knowledge, skills, and ethical reasoning abilities necessary to navigate the complex ethical terrain of audit engagements effectively.

Professional development, participants asserted, serves as a catalyst for auditors' ongoing growth and evolution, enabling them to adapt to changing industry dynamics and emerging ethical challenges. The dynamic nature of the auditing profession demands a commitment to lifelong learning and skill development, as auditors confront new technologies, regulatory changes, and ethical dilemmas that require innovative solutions. Through professional development programs, auditors can enhance their technical expertise, hone their analytical skills, and deepen their understanding of ethical principles and professional standards.

Moreover, ethical training emerged as a linchpin of auditors' ethical responsibilities, providing a structured framework for instilling ethical values, fostering a culture of integrity, and reinforcing professional norms and standards within auditing firms. Participants underscored the importance of ethical training in sensitizing auditors to the ethical dimensions of their work, raising awareness of ethical dilemmas, and equipping them with the tools and strategies necessary to navigate such challenges effectively. Ethical training, participants affirmed, serves as a bulwark against ethical lapses and misconduct, empowering auditors to uphold the highest standards of ethical conduct and integrity.

The qualitative study revealed a diverse array of approaches to ethical training, ranging from formal education programs to informal mentorship initiatives and experiential learning opportunities. Participants emphasized the value of interactive training methods, such as case studies, role-playing exercises, and ethical decision-making simulations, which enable auditors to grapple with real-world ethical dilemmas in a safe and supportive environment. By engaging in active learning experiences, auditors can enhance their ethical reasoning abilities, develop a deeper appreciation for ethical principles, and cultivate a sense of moral responsibility in their professional practice.

Furthermore, participants highlighted the importance of organizational support and leadership commitment in fostering a culture of ethical excellence and promoting ethical training initiatives within auditing firms. Ethical tone at the top, participants affirmed, sets the stage for ethical conduct and decision-making throughout the organization, signaling a commitment to integrity and accountability from senior leadership. Organizations that prioritize ethical training invest in their employees' ethical development, recognizing that a strong ethical culture is essential for sustaining long-term success and reputation.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the qualitative study on auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing has provided valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of ethical conduct within the profession. The themes elucidated through participants' perspectives shed light on the challenges, complexities, and imperatives inherent in auditors' ethical responsibilities, offering implications for both theoretical understanding and managerial practice.

From a theoretical standpoint, the findings underscore the significance of ethical responsibility as a foundational principle underpinning the auditing profession. Participants unanimously emphasized the critical importance of ethical conduct in maintaining public trust and confidence in financial reporting. Ethical responsibility was perceived not merely as a regulatory obligation but as a moral imperative, grounded in principles of integrity, objectivity, independence, and professional competence. The qualitative study reaffirmed the centrality of ethical responsibility in shaping auditors' attitudes, behaviors, and decision-making processes, highlighting its profound implications for the credibility and integrity of financial reporting systems.

Moreover, the themes elucidated in the study offer theoretical implications for understanding the ethical complexities and dilemmas that auditors encounter in practice. Conflicts of interest, ambiguity in accounting standards, and pressures from clients or management emerged as recurring challenges that test auditors' ethical resolve. The interplay of organizational factors, such as culture, leadership, and incentives, further complicates ethical decision-making processes, underscoring the need for a nuanced understanding of the contextual factors shaping auditors' responses to ethical dilemmas. By elucidating the multifaceted nature of ethical responsibility and the challenges inherent in its implementation, the study contributes to theoretical discourse on auditing ethics, enriching our understanding of the ethical imperatives that govern auditors' conduct.

From a managerial perspective, the findings of the study offer practical implications for auditing firms, regulatory bodies, and professional associations seeking to promote ethical conduct and integrity within the profession. Professional development and ethical training emerge as crucial avenues for enhancing auditors' ethical awareness, competence, and resilience. By investing in ongoing education and training programs, auditing firms can equip auditors with the knowledge, skills, and ethical reasoning abilities necessary to navigate ethical dilemmas effectively. Moreover, fostering a culture of integrity and ethical excellence within auditing firms requires a concerted effort from organizational leaders to prioritize ethical values, promote transparency, and uphold accountability.

Furthermore, regulatory bodies play a vital role in promoting ethical conduct within the auditing profession through the formulation and enforcement of regulatory standards. The findings of the study underscore the importance of regulatory frameworks and ethical oversight mechanisms in providing guidance, accountability, and deterrence against unethical behavior. However, participants voiced concerns about the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms and the adequacy of regulatory oversight, highlighting the need for greater transparency, consistency, and responsiveness in regulatory enforcement. By addressing these concerns and strengthening regulatory oversight, regulatory bodies can enhance public trust and confidence in the auditing profession, fostering a climate of ethical excellence and accountability.

In conclusion, the qualitative study offers valuable insights into auditors' perceptions of ethical responsibility in auditing, with implications for both theoretical understanding and managerial practice. By elucidating the challenges, complexities, and imperatives inherent in auditors' ethical responsibilities, the study contributes to theoretical discourse on auditing ethics and provides practical guidance for promoting ethical conduct and integrity within the profession. Moving forward, initiatives aimed at enhancing ethical awareness, competence, and resilience among auditors, coupled with robust regulatory oversight and organizational support, are essential for upholding the highest standards of ethical conduct and integrity in the auditing profession.

References

Anderson, U. (1998). The auditor's responsibility for fraud detection: A study of the effects of fraud detectability and evidence reliability. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 17(1), 27-47. https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.1998.17.1.27

Barrainkua, I. (2018). Balancing between professionalism and commercialism: An empirical study on the ethical behaviour of auditors. Journal of Business Ethics, 151(2), 497-514. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3187-1

Chow, C. W. (2014). The effects of client identity strength and professional commitment on auditors' ethical judgments. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 33(2), 43-66. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-50681

DeFond, M., Raghunandan, K., & Subramanyam, K. R. (2020). Regulation and the interdependence of audit quality and corporate governance. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 35(1), 112–145. https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X19882815

Gul, F. A., Fung, S. Y. K., & Jaggi, B. (2019). The effect of auditor reputation and auditor independence on audit fees. European Accounting Review, 28(4), 677–702. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2018.1480361

Hurtt, R. K., Brown-Liburd, H., & Earley, C. E. (2018). Auditors' ethical decision-making: The effects of the moral intensity of the issue and motivation. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 37(3), 187–208. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-52001

Jeffrey, R. (2004). Independence threats and cultural constructs: A study of the ethical judgments of Australian auditors. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 23(2), 147-162. https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2004.23.2.147

Johari, F. (2013). Influence of independence threat on auditors' ethical judgment: The role of moral intensity. Asian Journal of Business Ethics, 2(1), 57-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13520-012-0017-5

Klein, J. M., Owens, B. P., Morris, S. B., & Beasley, W. S. (2020). Can one bad apple spoil the bunch? A meta-analysis of the impact of organizational ethical climate on organizational deviance. Journal of Business Ethics, 162(4), 905–928. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4029-y

Louwers, T. J., Ramsay, R. J., Sinason, D. H., Strawser, J. R., & Thibodeau, J. C. (2017). Auditing & assurance services (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Schelluch, P. (1997). The expectations gap: Factors influencing auditors' responsibilities. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 16(1), 121-147. https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.1997.16.1.121

Shafer, W. E. (2001). Auditors' judgments about ethical issues: The impact of client integrity and competence and auditor gender. Behavioral Research in Accounting, 13(1), 263-285. https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2001.13.1.263

Shaub, M. K., Warne, R. T., Rowe, R. M., & Kearns, J. R. (2019). Auditors' perceptions of unethical client pressure tactics: An exploratory qualitative analysis. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 38(3), 155–178. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-52384

Sweeney, B. (2010). Ethical evaluations and intentions: Exploring the impact of firm and individual level variables. Journal of Business Ethics, 97(4), 573-595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0531-3